Arkansas

UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2020 Mental Health Block Grant Report COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 06/07/2017 - Expires 06/30/2020 (generated on 01/06/2021 2.26.05 PM)

Center for Mental Health Services
Division of State and Community Systems Development

A. State Information

State Information

State DUNS Number

Number 119841336

Expiration Date

I. State Agency to be the Grantee for the Block Grant

Agency Name Arkansas Department of Human Services

Organizational Unit Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Health Services

Mailing Address Post Office Box 1437 Slot W-241

City Little Rock
Zip Code 72203-1437

II. Contact Person for the Grantee of the Block Grant

First Name Jay

Last Name Hill

Agency Name AR Department of Human Services, Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Helath Services

Mailing Address PO Box 1437 Slot W-241

 City
 Little Rock

 Zip Code
 72203-1437

 Telephone
 501-686-9164

Fax

Email Address jay.hill@dhs.arkansas.gov

III. State Expenditure Period (Most recent State exependiture period that is closed out)

From 7/1/2018

To 6/30/2019

IV. Date Submitted

NOTE: This field will be automatically populated when the application is submitted.

Submission Date 12/2/2019 5:16:07 PM

Revision Date 6/8/2020 9:01:22 AM

V. Contact Person Responsible for Report Submission

First Name Rachael

Last Name Veregge

Telephone 5013206431

Fax

Email Address rachael.veregge@dhs.arkansas.gov

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:

B. Implementation Report

MHBG Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

| ity #: | 1 | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| ity Area: | Substance Abuse Treatment | | | | | |
| ity Type: | SAT | | | | | |
| ılation(s): | PWWDC, PP, PWID | | | | | |
| of the priority | area: | | | | | |
| ntain and expa | nd access to substance abuse se | rvices for the indigent and/or court involved population | | | | |
| egies to attain | the goal: | | | | | |
| g users, wome ovide detoxific | n who are pregnant and/or paren ation, outpatient services, partial | ride services to the indigent populations. These contracts prioritize individuals who are intraventing, military, and adolescents. day treatment, residential services, and Specialized Women Services. faith-based organizations and community partners to develop a collaborative partnership | | | | |
| Annual Perfo | rmance Indicators to measu | ure goal success | | | | |
| Indicator #: | | 1 | | | | |
| Indicator: | | Number of unduplicated individuals served | | | | |
| | easurement: | 11476 | | | | |
| First-year ta | rget/outcome measurement: | A 1.5% increase from baseline. | | | | |
| Second-yea | r target/outcome measurement: | A 3% increase from baseline. | | | | |
| New Secon | d-year target/outcome measuren | ment(if needed): | | | | |
| | cific treatment data reported from n System: ADMIS). | n the state's substance use disorder treatment data system (Alcohol/Drug Management | | | | |
| New Data S | ource(if needed): | | | | | |
| Description | of Data: | | | | | |
| | | f unduplicated individuals served from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 (SFY 2016). The first-year second-year target will include SFY 2018. | | | | |
| New Descri | ption of Data:(if needed) | | | | | |
| Data issues, | /caveats that affect outcome mea | asures: | | | | |
| | current data available for establish 2018, respectively. | hing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY | | | | |
| New Data is | ssues/caveats that affect outcom | e measures: | | | | |
| Report (| of Progress Toward Go | nal Attainment | | | | |
| First Year | | _ | | | | |
| | rurget. | (q not denter out, on printing) | | | | |

| | nal): | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Indicator #: | 2 | | | | |
| Indicator: | Units of Services Provided | | | | |
| Baseline Measurement: | Measurement: Total Units for Residential Treatment = 1000,170 days; Total Units for Outpatient Treatmen = 2901 hours; Total Detoxification Units = 3270 hours | | | | |
| First-year target/outcome measurement: | arget/outcome measurement: First year target represents a 1.5% increase from baseline. | | | | |
| Second-year target/outcome measurement: | Second year target represents a 3% increase from baseline. | | | | |
| New Second-year target/outcome measurer | nent(if needed): | | | | |
| Data Source: | | | | | |
| Client specific treatment data reported from Information System: ADMIS). | n the state's substance use disorder treatment data system (Alcohol/Drug Management | | | | |
| New Data Source(if needed): | | | | | |
| Description of Data: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| The Baseline Measurement is the number of target will include data from SFY 2017. The | f unduplicated individuals served from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 (SFY 2016). The first-year second-year target will include SFY 2018. | | | | |
| target will include data from SFY 2017. The | | | | | |
| | second-year target will include SFY 2018. | | | | |
| target will include data from SFY 2017. The New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me | second-year target will include SFY 2018. | | | | |
| target will include data from SFY 2017. The New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me The most current data available for establis 2017 and 2018, respectively. | asures: hing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY | | | | |
| target will include data from SFY 2017. The New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me The most current data available for establis 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome | asures: hing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY e measures: | | | | |
| target will include data from SFY 2017. The New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me The most current data available for establis | asures: hing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY e measures: oal Attainment | | | | |
| New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me The most current data available for establis 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cl During Year 1, Arkansas saw a decrease in the baseline measurements. Arkansas began utiling the second of the | asures: hing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY e measures: bal Attainment wed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) | | | | |
| New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me The most current data available for establis 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cl During Year 1, Arkansas saw a decrease in the paseline measurements. Arkansas began utilifunding to be used to cover other service ga Medicaid Program. | asures: hing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY e measures: Dal Attainment Eved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) hanges proposed to meet target: e number of residential treatment, outpatient treatment and detoxification days from our lizing discretionary grant funding that targeted opioid users, which allowed block grant ps. Additionally, outpatient services have not been made available through the Arkansas | | | | |
| New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me The most current data available for establis 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and cleaning Year 1, Arkansas saw a decrease in the paseline measurements. Arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements. Arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements. Arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements. Arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements. Arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements. Arkansas began utilized in the paseline measurements arkansas began utilized in the pa | asures: hing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY e measures: Oal Attainment oved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) hanges proposed to meet target: e number of residential treatment, outpatient treatment and detoxification days from our lizing discretionary grant funding that targeted opioid users, which allowed block grant ps. Additionally, outpatient services have not been made available through the Arkansas O: | | | | |
| New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome me The most current data available for establis 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achie Reason why target was not achieved, and of During Year 1, Arkansas saw a decrease in the baseline measurements. Arkansas began utifunding to be used to cover other service ga Medicaid Program. How first year target was achieved (optional) | asures: hing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY e measures: Dal Attainment E Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) hanges proposed to meet target: e number of residential treatment, outpatient treatment and detoxification days from our lizing discretionary grant funding that targeted opioid users, which allowed block grant ps. Additionally, outpatient services have not been made available through the Arkansas D: E Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) | | | | |

Priority #: 2

Priority Area: Mental Health Treatment

Priority Type: MHS

Population(s): SMI, SED

Goal of the priority area:

Maintain or expand access to quality mental health services for the population of adults with serious mental illness and children with serious emotional disturbance.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Improve contracts with community based providers to provide mental health treatment to adults with serious mental illness and children with severe emotional disturbance.

Priority #: 3

Priority Area: Behavioral Health Medicaid transformation

Priority Type: SAT, MHS

Population(s): SMI, SED, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Children/Youth at Risk for BH Disorder)

Goal of the priority area:

Promote and improve integrated care approaches, best practices, recovery-oriented services, and delivery and access to services for underserved communities within the Medicaid system.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Continue to meet with stakeholders to garner feedback and support.

| -Annual | Per | formance | Ind | icators | to | measure | goal | success |
|---------|-----|----------|-----|---------|----|---------|------|---------|
|---------|-----|----------|-----|---------|----|---------|------|---------|

Indicator #:

Indicator: Transition RSPMI Providers to BHA Certification in the OBHS system

Baseline Measurement: 56

First-year target/outcome measurement: 53

Second-year target/outcome measurement: 56

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Medicaid data warehouse; Provider database

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Medicaid data warehouse houses all information on Medicaid providers, clients and claims. The provider database houses demographic information on just the providers.

New Description of Data:(if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

The first-year target outcome represents the existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to transition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseline measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first year. The second year target of 56 represents 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target: Achieved If not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

| low second year target was achiev | ed (optional): |
|--|--|
| Indicator #: | 2 |
| Indicator: | Transition of LMHP providers to ILP providers |
| Baseline Measurement: | 41 |
| First-year target/outcome measure | ment: 43 |
| Second-year target/outcome measu | irement: 45 |
| New Second-year target/outcome r | neasurement(if needed): |
| Data Source: | |
| Medicaid data warehouse; provide | r database |
| New Data Source(if needed): | |
| Description of Data: | |
| The Medicaid data warehouse hou demographic information on just t | ses all information on Medicaid providers, clients and claims. The provider database houses he providers. |
| New Description of Data:(if needed | |
| | • |
| Data issues/caveats that affect outc | ome measures: |
| Currently certified Licensed Mental | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 |
| Currently certified Licensed Mental Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpar The LMHP program will sundown o | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 on June 30, 2018. 6 of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an incre |
| Currently certified Licensed Mental Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpar The LMHP program will sundown of The first year target represents 95% of 5% (2) of new ILP applications b | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 on June 30, 2018. 6 of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an incre |
| Currently certified Licensed Mental Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpar The LMHP program will sundown of the first year target represents 95% of 5% (2) of new ILP applications both the second year target, 45 represe 2018-June 1, 2019. | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 on June 30, 2018. 6 of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increeing approved for a total of 43. nts an 10% increase of new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July |
| Currently certified Licensed Mental Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpar The LMHP program will sundown of The first year target represents 95% of 5% (2) of new ILP applications both The second year target, 45 represe 2018-June 1, 2019. New Data issues/caveats that affects | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 on June 30, 2018. 6 of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increeing approved for a total of 43. Ints an 10% increase of new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July a coutcome measures: |
| Currently certified Licensed Mental Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpar The LMHP program will sundown of The first year target represents 95% of 5% (2) of new ILP applications both The second year target, 45 represe 2018-June 1, 2019. | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 on June 30, 2018. 6 of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increeing approved for a total of 43. Ints an 10% increase of new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July a coutcome measures: |
| Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpar The LMHP program will sundown of The first year target represents 95% of 5% (2) of new ILP applications b The second year target, 45 represe | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 on June 30, 2018. 6 of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increeing approved for a total of 43. Ints an 10% increase of new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July a coutcome measures: |
| Currently certified Licensed Mental Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpar The LMHP program will sundown of the LMHP program will sundown of 5% (2) of new ILP applications bound the second year target, 45 represe 2018-June 1, 2019. New Data issues/caveats that affect Report of Progress Towards First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieve At the end of SFY 2017 there was a transcription of the former LMHP probringing the total to 163 individuals | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 on June 30, 2018. 6 of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increasing approved for a total of 43. Ints an 10% increase of new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July at outcome measures: Actional Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) d, and changes proposed to meet target: total of 41 certified LMHPs. During SFY 2018, 55 individuals were certified as ILPs. Of these 55, 17 has ogram to the new ILP program. Thus far in SFY 2019, 108 individuals have been certified as an ILP, is. Our first year goal of converting 43 individuals to the ILP program was not met. We cannot say for |
| Currently certified Licensed Mental Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpar The LMHP program will sundown of the first year target represents 95% of 5% (2) of new ILP applications be The second year target, 45 represe 2018-June 1, 2019. New Data issues/caveats that affect Report of Progress Towards First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieve At the end of SFY 2017 there was a teconverted from the former LMHP programs of the pringing the total to 163 individuals soure why these individuals chose no | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 on June 30, 2018. 6 of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increasing approved for a total of 43. Ints an 10% increase of new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July at outcome measures: Action of Complete the application process with an increasing approved for a total of 43. Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) d, and changes proposed to meet target: total of 41 certified LMHPs. During SFY 2018, 55 individuals were certified as ILPs. Of these 55, 17 has ogram to the new ILP program. Thus far in SFY 2019, 108 individuals have been certified as an ILP, is. Our first year goal of converting 43 individuals to the ILP program was not met. We cannot say for to convert to the new program. |
| Currently certified Licensed Mental Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpar The LMHP program will sundown of the first year target represents 95% of 5% (2) of new ILP applications be The second year target, 45 represe 2018-June 1, 2019. New Data issues/caveats that affect Report of Progress Toward First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieve At the end of SFY 2017 there was a the converted from the former LMHP probringing the total to 163 individuals sure why these individuals chose not thought the series of the sure why these individuals chose not the sure why the sure was achieved the sure why the sure was achieved the sure was achieved to the sure wa | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 on June 30, 2018. 6 of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increasing approved for a total of 43. Ints an 10% increase of new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July at outcome measures: Action of Complete the application process with an increasing approved for a total of 43. Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) d, and changes proposed to meet target: total of 41 certified LMHPs. During SFY 2018, 55 individuals were certified as ILPs. Of these 55, 17 has ogram to the new ILP program. Thus far in SFY 2019, 108 individuals have been certified as an ILP, is. Our first year goal of converting 43 individuals to the ILP program was not met. We cannot say for to convert to the new program. |
| Currently certified Licensed Mental Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpar The LMHP program will sundown of the first year target represents 95% of 5% (2) of new ILP applications be The second year target, 45 represe 2018-June 1, 2019. New Data issues/caveats that affect Report of Progress Toward First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieve At the end of SFY 2017 there was a transferred from the former LMHP probringing the total to 163 individuals sure why these individuals chose not How first year target was achieved Second Year Target: | Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed tient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 on June 30, 2018. 6 of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increeing approved for a total of 43. Ints an 10% increase of new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July at coutcome measures: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) d, and changes proposed to meet target: cotal of 41 certified LMHPs. During SFY 2018, 55 individuals were certified as ILPs. Of these 55, 17 has ogram to the new ILP program. Thus far in SFY 2019, 108 individuals have been certified as an ILP, as Our first year goal of converting 43 individuals to the ILP program was not met. We cannot say for to convert to the new program. (optional): |

| rity #: | 4 | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| rity Area: | Children's System of Care | | | | | |
| rity Type: | MHS | | | | | |
| ulation(s): | SED | | | | | |
| of the priority a | rea: | | | | | |
| | outh involvement and leadership ent, delivery, and evaluation | o structure that will facilitate the family and youth voice and choice at every level of service | | | | |
| egies to attain tl | he goal: | | | | | |
| ertner with NAMI | AR to develop youth and family | capacity and hire Liaisons | | | | |
| | | on and Training/University of Arkansas at Little Rock School of Social Work To provide funding to g education, resource development, and technical assistance to professionals and family member | | | | |
| Annual Perfor | mance Indicators to measu | ire goal success | | | | |
| Indicator #: | | 1 | | | | |
| Indicator: | | Number of Support Groups Held (Through NAMI AR) | | | | |
| Baseline Mea | surement: | 4 | | | | |
| First-year tar | get/outcome measurement: | | | | | |
| Second-year | target/outcome measurement: | 10 | | | | |
| New Second | ·year target/outcome measurem | nent(if needed): | | | | |
| Data Source: | • | | | | | |
| NAMI AR | | | | | | |
| New Data So | urce(if needed): | | | | | |
| Description o | of Data: | | | | | |
| | | nildren's System of Care grant. DBHS has a sub grant with NAMI Arkansas to provide funds ve one group meet monthly in each of 14 sites. | | | | |
| | tion of Data:(if needed) | | | | | |
| New Descript | | | | | | |
| | aveats that affect outcome mea | isures: | | | | |
| Data issues/c | ge has been in finding individua | asures: als who are consistently able to lead support groups as the leaders must be legacy family group trainings and be unpaid volunteers. | | | | |

Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How second year target was achieved (optional):

✓ Achieved

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

Indicator #: 2

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Second Year Target:

| | Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH |
|--|--|
| Baseline Measurement: | 426 |
| irst-year target/outcome measurement: | 356 |
| econd-year target/outcome measurement: | 400 |
| lew Second-year target/outcome measurem | ent(if needed): |
| Data Source: | |
| UALR/MidSOUTH | |
| New Data Source(if needed): | |
| Description of Data: | |
| | at trainings have been made available to mental health staff and families. During SFY 2016, obers were trained in Team Up for Your Child. Each year different subjects directly related to e targeted for the trainings. |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) | |
| Pata issues/caveats that affect outcome meas | sures: |
| During the final years of the grant, less fund | Is are available to be used for training. |
| lew Data issues/caveats that affect outcome | measures: |
| | |
| Report of Progress Toward Go | _ |
| irst Year Target: Achiev | red |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and cha he Behavioral Health transformation in Arkan hanges. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the traisions of the | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and Insas ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and cha the Behavioral Health transformation in Arkan hanges. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the trailisbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the outh Support Specialists. We expect that sin | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been Itrainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the was many years in the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been re |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and cha he Behavioral Health transformation in Arkar hanges. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the tr lisbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the fouth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the y | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the Family Support Partner and Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers and implemented. Information has since been Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the providers have been resistant to the system Insas was many years in the providers have been resistant to the system of the providers have been resistant to the system of the |
| teason why target was not achieved, and channels he Behavioral Health transformation in Arkan hanges. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the tributes to garner more enthusiasm for the outh Support Specialists. We expect that sin adviduals being trained will increase in the your first year target was achieved (optional). | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and charles he Behavioral Health transformation in Arkar hanges. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the traisbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the fouth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the yellow first year target was achieved (optional): | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In the providers have been resistant to the system erse be |
| teason why target was not achieved, and changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the tribursed to garner more enthusiasm for the outh Support Specialists. We expect that sindividuals being trained will increase in the yallow first year target was achieved (optional): The econd Year Target: Achieved to garner more enthusiasm for the outh Support Specialists. We expect that sindividuals being trained will increase in the yallow first year target was achieved (optional): The econd Year Target: | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In the providers have been resistant to the system ers being implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and the trainings while advising providers and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to the system ers |
| teason why target was not achieved, and changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the tribursed to garner more enthusiasm for the outh Support Specialists. We expect that sindividuals being trained will increase in the yallow first year target was achieved (optional): The econd Year Target: Achieved to garner more enthusiasm for the outh Support Specialists. We expect that sindividuals being trained will increase in the yallow first year target was achieved (optional): The econd Year Target: | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In the providers have been resistant to the system ers being implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and the trainings while advising providers and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In the providers have been resistant to the system ers been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to the system ers being |
| eason why target was not achieved, and channe Behavioral Health transformation in Arkannanges. It is this hesitancy that led to provide buth Support Specialist trainings until the transformed to garner more enthusiasm for the buth Support Specialists. We expect that sindividuals being trained will increase in the yow first year target was achieved (optional): econd Year Target: Achieveleason why target was not achieved, and change was achieved (optional): | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In the providers have been resistant to the system ers been resistant to the system ers being implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and the trainings while advising providers and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In the providers have been resistant to the system ers been resistant to the system ers being implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers and implemented that the numbers of years to come. In the providers have been resistant to the system ers been resistant to the system er |
| eason why target was not achieved, and channed Behavioral Health transformation in Arkannanges. It is this hesitancy that led to provide buth Support Specialist trainings until the transformation to garner more enthusiasm for the buth Support Specialists. We expect that sin idividuals being trained will increase in the yow first year target was achieved (optional): econd Year Target: Achieved and channed will increase in the yow first year target was achieved, and channed will increase in the yow second Year Target: Achieved was not achieved, and channed will increase in the yow second year target was achieved (optional): | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In anges proposed to meet target: In analy: |
| eason why target was not achieved, and channed Behavioral Health transformation in Arkannanges. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the transformation to garner more enthusiasm for the outh Support Specialists. We expect that sin idividuals being trained will increase in the yow first year target was achieved (optional): econd Year Target: Achieved was not achieved, and channed was achieved (optional): dicator #: | anges proposed to meet target: Insass was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and cansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In the providers of the providers have been resistant to the system ersons to the system and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In the providers have been resistant to the system ersons to the system and the providers have been resistant to the system ersons to the system and the system and the system ersons to the system and the system ersons to the system error ersons to the system error ersons to the system error erro |
| eason why target was not achieved, and changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the trisbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the outh Support Specialists. We expect that sin adividuals being trained will increase in the yallow first year target was achieved (optional): econd Year Target: Achieved asson why target was not achieved, and change in the yallow second year target was achieved (optional): about the Behavioral Health trainings until the trisbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the pout of the yallow expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the yallow first year target was achieved (optional): Achieved asson why target was not achieved, and change indicator #: addicator: asseline Measurement: | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. In anges proposed to meet target: In anges proposed to meet target: Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) Anges proposed to meet target: Number of Youth and Family Affiliate Liaisons Hired |
| eason why target was not achieved, and changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the trisbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the outh Support Specialists. We expect that sindividuals being trained will increase in the ylow first year target was achieved (optional): econd Year Target: Achieved asson why target was not achieved, and changes achieved (optional): Achieved asson why target was not achieved, and changes achieved (optional): andicator #: asseline Measurement: irst-year target/outcome measurement: | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of years to come. It is not achieved (if not achieved,explain why) It is an anges proposed to meet target: It is an anges proposed to provide and Family Affiliate Liaisons Hired It is an anges proposed to meet target: It is an anges proposed to meet target: It is an anges proposed to meet target: It is an anges proposed to provide and Family Affiliate Liaisons Hired |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the trisbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the outh Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the yallow first year target was achieved (optional): Recond Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and change in the yallow second year target was achieved (optional): Reason why target was not achieved, and change in the yallow second year target was achieved (optional): Reason why target was not achieved, and change in the yallow second year target was achieved (optional): Reason why target was not achieved, and change in the yallow second year target was achieved (optional): Reason why target was not achieved (optional): Reason why target was achieved (optional): Reason why target was achieved (optional): | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of vears to come. In the provider of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of vears to come. In the provider of the provider of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of vears to come. In the provider of the provider of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has since been trainings while advising Family Support Partner and ansformation has since been trainings while advising Family Support Partner and ansformation has since been trainings while advising Family Support Partner and ansformation has since been trainings while advising Family Support Partner and ansformation has since been trainings while advising Family Support Partner and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising Family Support Partner and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising Support Partner and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising Support Partner and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising Support Partner and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising Support Partners and certainings Support Partners |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and charles Behavioral Health transformation in Arkan hanges. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the transformation of the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the yallow first year target was achieved (optional): Second Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and charles we have target was achieved (optional): Achieved was ach | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of vears to come. It is not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) In anges proposed to meet target: In all: 3 Number of Youth and Family Affiliate Liaisons Hired 9 Youth and 5 Family Liaisons Hired 11 youth and 11 family liaisons hired 14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and charles Behavioral Health transformation in Arkar hanges. It is this hesitancy that led to provide outh Support Specialist trainings until the traisbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the couth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the yellow first year target was achieved (optional): | anges proposed to meet target: Insas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system ers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ansformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and ce the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the numbers of vears to come. Here Insurance |

Description of Data:

| | of Data:(if needed) | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Data issues/cave | ats that affect outcor | me measures: | |
| All liaisons mus | t have lived experien | ces and a desire to help o | others with similar backgrounds. |
| New Data issues | caveats that affect o | outcome measures: | |
| Report of P | rogress Towar | d Goal Attainme | nt |
| First Year Targ | et: | Achieved | Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) |
| Reason why targ | et was not achieved, | and changes proposed t | to meet target: |
| How first year ta | rget was achieved (o) | ptional): | |
| Second Year T | arget: | Achieved | Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| Reason why targ | et was not achieved, | and changes proposed t | to meet target: |
| The discretiona on a no cost ex | | this indicator ended 09/2 | 29/19. In the last year there were no new hires as the grant was operating |
| How second yea | r target was achieved | l (optional): | |
| | | | |
| y #: 5 | | | |
| y Area: | onsumer Affairs | | |
| y Type: S | AT, MHS | | |
| | MI, SED, PWWDC, PP, isablities, Homeless) | PWID, Other (Adolescen | nts w/SA and/or MH, LGBTQ, Rural, Criminal/Juvenile Justice, Persons with |
| C 41 | | | |
| f the priority area: | | | |
| sist and educate ic | lentified populations | throughout the State of | f Arkansas in navigating the various social and behavioral health systems to acc |
| | · · | throughout the State of | f Arkansas in navigating the various social and behavioral health systems to acc |
| sist and educate ides | oal: y Affairs (OCA) will m | | f Arkansas in navigating the various social and behavioral health systems to according issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services available. |
| sist and educate ic es gies to attain the g office of Communit mary counties of se | y Affairs (OCA) will mervice. | naintain a database regar | |
| sist and educate ic es gies to attain the g office of Communit mary counties of so will build relations ning access to serv | y Affairs (OCA) will mervice. hips with community | naintain a database regar | rding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services availab es and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with |
| sist and educate ic es gies to attain the g office of Communit mary counties of so will build relations ning access to serv | y Affairs (OCA) will mervice. hips with community | naintain a database regar v organizations, provider: | rding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services availables and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with |
| sist and educate ices gies to attain the goodfice of Community mary counties of so will build relations ning access to serv | y Affairs (OCA) will mervice. hips with community | naintain a database regard v organizations, providers measure goal success | rding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services availab es and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with |
| sist and educate ices gies to attain the goffice of Community mary counties of some will build relations ining access to servenual Performantal Indicator #: | y Affairs (OCA) will mervice. hips with community vices. | maintain a database regard organizations, providers measure goal success 1 OCA receives ca | rding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services availables and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with |
| gies to attain the graph of the services of Community many counties of services and Performal Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measure | y Affairs (OCA) will mervice. hips with community vices. | maintain a database regard organizations, providers measure goal success 1 OCA receives ca Average numbe | rding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services availables and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with |
| sist and educate ices gies to attain the g ffice of Community mary counties of so will build relations ning access to serv mual Performal Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measur First-year target | y Affairs (OCA) will mervice. hips with community rices. nce Indicators to the sement: | maintain a database regard organizations, providers measure goal success 1 OCA receives ca Average number | rding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services availables and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with s alls regarding lack of access to services er of calls is 50 per month. |
| sist and educate ices gies to attain the goodfice of Community mary counties of some some some some some some some some | y Affairs (OCA) will mervice. hips with community rices. nce Indicators to provide the community of the community rices. | maintain a database regard organizations, providers measure goal success 1 OCA receives ca Average number | rding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services availables and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with s alls regarding lack of access to services er of calls is 50 per month. asse the number of calls regarding a lack of access to services by 3% |

| | Description o | f Data: |
|----------|------------------|--|
| | | f Consumer Affairs and the Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Health Services staff receive calls; identify need of the ovide caller with an outcome. |
| | New Descript | ion of Data:(if needed) |
| | Data issues/ca | aveats that affect outcome measures: |
| | New Data issu | ues/caveats that affect outcome measures: |
| | Report of | F Progress Toward Goal Attainment |
| | First Year Ta | arget: Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| | Reason why t | arget was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: |
| | How first year | r target was achieved (optional): |
| | Second Yea | r Target: Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| | Reason why t | arget was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: |
| | How second y | year target was achieved (optional): |
| | | |
| | | |
| Priority | | |
| Priority | | Alcohol Use Among Youth, Adults and the Military |
| Priority | | SAP |
| - | tion(s): | PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families) |
| Goal of | the priority a | ea: |
| Reduc | e use of alcoh | ol drinking among persons under 21, adults and the military. |
| Strateg | ies to attain th | ne goal: |
| | | of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies: information dissemination, education/training community-based, on and referral. |
| • Coo | dinate service | s for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender. |
| • Incre | ease leadership | and advocacy training for youth. |
| | _ | bout prevention to physicians and other healthcare providers for a greater understanding of science of addiction and prescription o over prescribing. |
| • Incre | ease drug educ | ation and services to college age youth. |
| • Incre | ease survey par | ticipation on college campuses. |
| •Incre | ase public awa | reness of substance abuse and misuse. |

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of students surveyed who reported that they had drank alcohol in the past 30

days.

Baseline Measurement: 12%

First-year target/outcome measurement: Lower reported 30-day alcohol usage by 2%

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Lower reported 30-day alcohol usage by 3% New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention. Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates. State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities. New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system - a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment **✓** Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Second Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How second year target was achieved (optional): Indicator #: 2 Indicator: The population served and reported in the Arkansas Prevention WITS by CSAP Strategies 1,122,046 **Baseline Measurement:** First-year target/outcome measurement: Increase number of population served by 2% Second-year target/outcome measurement: Increase number of population served by 3% New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Printed: 1/6/2021 2:26 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Data Source:

| Arkansas Prevention Needs As certificates, Arkansas Prevention | | * ' | te Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line trainir |
|---|--|--|--|
| New Data Source(if needed): | | | |
| Description of Data: | | | |
| | | | measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance |
| Enhance or expand data being certificates. | collected by | veteran serving (| organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training |
| | he purpose of | | ovides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both o provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance |
| areas. WITS contain a multi-di interventions/activities accord | mensional Pro ling to the pla ta required by | evention Plan and an. Implementati the Block Grant | cking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate tion data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapit, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be activities. |
| New Description of Data:(if ne | eded) | | |
| Data issues/caveats that affect | outcome mea | sures: | |
| mental health and treatment of | data. WITS sat grams. The sys | isfies mandatory stem captures de | oplication designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse y government reporting requirements for planning, administration and emographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and |
| New Data issues/caveats that a | ffect outcom | e measures: | |
| Report of Progress To | oward Go | al Attainme | ont |
| First Year Target: | Achie | | Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| Reason why target was not ach | | | |
| How first year target was achie | - | | u to meet target. |
| Second Year Target: | ✓ Achie | ved | Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| Reason why target was not ach | nieved, and ch | anges proposed | d to meet target: |
| How second year target was ac | hieved (optio | nal): | |
| | | | |
| ndicator #: | | 3 | |
| ndicator: | | Number of cor | ompleted on-line trainings for Center for Prevention and Training for Milita |
| Baseline Measurement: | | 0 | |
| | | | |
| First-year target/outcome mea | surement: | Increase numb | ber of completed on-line trainings by 2% |
| First-year target/outcome mea Second-year target/outcome n | | | ber of completed on-line trainings by 2% ber of completed on-line trainings by 3% |
| | neasurement: | Increase numb | ber of completed on-line trainings by 3% |
| Second-year target/outcome n | neasurement: | Increase numb | ber of completed on-line trainings by 3% |

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data: Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates. State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system - a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment **✓** Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional):

Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Priority #: 7

Second Year Target:

Priority Area: Tobacco Use among the Youth, Adults and the Military

How second year target was achieved (optional):

Achieved

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

Priority Type: SAP

Population(s): PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families)

Goal of the priority area:

Reduction of cigarette use among the youth, Adults and the Military.

Strategies to attain the goal:

- Increase utilization of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies to promote information dissemination, education/training, alternatives, environmental, community-based, problem identification and referral
- Coordinate services for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.
- Expand youth efforts for leadership and advocacy by increasing the knowledge and skills involved in prevention and community mobilization so that youth will become recognized advocates for themselves and their peers.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:

| icator: Number of students surveyed in APNA 2014 who reported smoking cigarettes in the past days. | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Baseline Measurement: | 6% | | | | |
| irst-year target/outcome measurement: | Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 2% | | | | |
| Second-year target/outcome measurement: | Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 3% | | | | |
| New Second-year target/outcome measurem | ent(if needed): | | | | |
| Data Source: | | | | | |
| Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Surv certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS Syster | ey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training n | | | | |
| New Data Source(if needed): | | | | | |
| Description of Data: | | | | | |
| | (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs and 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance | | | | |
| Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates. | veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training | | | | |
| | This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance | | | | |
| abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the pla | State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be | | | | |
| abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre interventions/activities according to the pla but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment | State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be | | | | |
| abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment. New Description of Data:(if needed) | State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be transplanning activities. | | | | |
| abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean arkansas uses the WITS reporting system—a mental health and treatment data. WITS sation monitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strategies. | State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be t and planning activities. sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. | | | | |
| abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean arkansas uses the WITS reporting system—a mental health and treatment data. WITS sation monitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strates. | State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate in. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be to and planning activities. Sures: The web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and regies. | | | | |
| abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean arkansas uses the WITS reporting system—a mental health and treatment data. WITS sation monitoring of prevention programs. The system of protective factors along with the CSAP strates. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean arkansas with the CSAP strates. Report of Progress Toward Go | State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate in Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities. sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. | | | | |
| abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system—a mental health and treatment data. WITS satismonitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strates along with the CSAP strates. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goesirst Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics. | State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate in Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be transplanning activities. sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. The measures: All Attainment with the state and its regions or service vention planning and its regions or service vention planning for rapid the state and its regions or service vention planning for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. The measures: All Attainment with the state and its regions or service vention planning and its regi | | | | |
| abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the provided for subsequent evaluation and the provided for subseq | State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate in. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be transplanning activities. **sures:** **a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and term captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. ***Prevention** **Prevention** **Prevention** ***Prevention** ** | | | | |
| abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the provided for subsequent evaluation and the provided for subsequent evaluati | State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate in. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities. Sures: | | | | |
| abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full funcareas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Preinterventions/activities according to the plabut thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment with the provided for subsequent evaluation and the provided for subseq | State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate in. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities. Sures: | | | | |

| Indicator: Baseline Measurement: | The population served and reported in the WITS data system by CSAP Strategies. | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Baseline Measurement: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| First-year target/outcome measurement: | Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 2% | | | | | |
| Second-year target/outcome measurement: | Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 3% | | | | | |
| New Second-year target/outcome measurem | ent(if needed): | | | | | |
| Data Source: | | | | | | |
| Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APN certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System | NA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training n | | | | | |
| New Data Source(if needed): | | | | | | |
| Description of Data: | | | | | | |
| | (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance | | | | | |
| Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates. | veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training | | | | | |
| statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. | This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's | | | | | |
| interventions/activities according to the pla | vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be | | | | | |
| used for subsequent evaluation, assessment | t and planning activities | | | | | |
| used for subsequent evaluation, assessment New Description of Data:(if needed) | | | | | | |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS satismonitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strates | sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. | | | | | |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS satismonitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strates | sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. | | | | | |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS satismonitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome | sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. | | | | | |
| New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward God | sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. e measures: | | | | | |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS satismonitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strates. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means are considered by the constant of the constant | sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. a measures: al Attainment Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) | | | | | |
| New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome. Report of Progress Toward Good First Year Target: Achieved. | sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. e measures: al Attainment Mot Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) anges proposed to meet target: | | | | | |
| New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean and the substitution of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome. Report of Progress Toward Good First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and challow first year target was achieved (optional) | sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. e measures: al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: | | | | | |
| New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome. Report of Progress Toward Good First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics. Achieved. Second Year Target: Achieved. | sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. The measures: Al Attainment The Mot Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) The anges proposed to meet target: The Mot Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) | | | | | |
| New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system of protective factors along with the CSAP strates. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system of protective factors along with the CSAP strates. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system of protective factors along with the CSAP strates. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system of protective factors along with the CSAP strates. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system of protective factors along with the CSAP strates. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system of protective factors along with the CSAP strates. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system of protective factors along with the CSAP strates. | sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. The measures: Al Attainment red | | | | | |
| New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean and issues/caveats that affect outcome mean and issues the WITS reporting system — a mental health and treatment data. WITS sati monitoring of prevention programs. The system protective factors along with the CSAP strate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome. Report of Progress Toward Good First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics. Second Year Target: Achieved. Achieved. Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics. | sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. The measures: Al Attainment red | | | | | |

| Baseline Measurement: | 0 | |
|---|--|---|
| First-year target/outcome measureme | ent: Increase nu | umber of on-line trainings completed by 2% |
| Second-year target/outcome measure | ement: Increase nu | umber of on-line trainings completed by 3% |
| New Second-year target/outcome me | asurement(if needed | ed): |
| Data Source: | | |
| State Epidemiological Outcome Worl | kgroup (SEOW), Com | npleted on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System |
| New Data Source(if needed): | | |
| Description of Data: | | |
| Enhance or expand data being collectertificates. | ted by veteran servir | ing organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training |
| statewide and county levels. The pur abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. | pose of the profile is | provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both s to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance ies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's |
| Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF | | |
| areas. WITS contain a multi-dimension interventions/activities according to | onal Prevention Plan the plan. Implement ired by the Block Gra | tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate nation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid rant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be ng activities. |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) | | |
| Data issues/caveats that affect outcor | ne measures: | |
| mental health and treatment data. W | TTS satisfies mandate The system captures | d application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, tory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and as demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and |
| New Data issues/caveats that affect o | utcome measures: | |
| Report of Progress Towar | d Goal Attainr | ment |
| First Year Target: | Achieved | Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| Reason why target was not achieved, | and changes propos | osed to meet target: |
| How first year target was achieved (op | otional): | |
| Second Year Target: | Achieved | Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| Reason why target was not achieved, | and changes propos | osed to meet target: |
| | (optional): | |
| How second year target was achieved | | |

Priori

Priority Area: Lower the Usage Rate for Prescription Drug Usage

Priority Type: SAP

Population(s): PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families)

Goal of the priority area:

Reduce misuse of prescription drugs among Youth, Adults and the Military.

Strategies to attain the goal:

- Increase utilization of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies: information dissemination, education/training community-based, problem identification and referral.
- Coordinate services for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.
- Increase leadership and advocacy training for youth.
- Increase training about prevention to physicians and other healthcare providers for a greater understanding of science of addiction and prescription drug issues related to over prescribing.
- Increase drug education and services to college age youth.
- Increase survey participation on college campuses.
- •Increase public awareness of substance abuse and misuse.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Indicator: Number of students surveyed in APNA 2014 who reported using prescription drugs use in

the past 30 days.

Baseline Measurement: 3.2%

First-year target/outcome measurement: Lower reported 30-day prescription drug usage by 2%

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Lower reported 30-day prescription drug usage by 3%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

| Report of Progress Toward Go | l Attainment |
|---|--|
| First Year Target: Achiev | d Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| achieve its goal in year one due to an organiz | nges proposed to meet target: students who self-reported using prescription drugs in the past 30 days. Arkansas did ation al change within the Substance Abuse Unit in the Division. In shifting focus for yo scretionary grant fuds to focus efforts its efforts on prescription drug use and misuse. |
| How first year target was achieved (optional) | |
| Second Year Target: 🔽 Achiev | d Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and ch | nges proposed to meet target: |
| How second year target was achieved (option | nl): |
| Indicator #: | 2 |
| Indicator: | The population served and reported in the Arkansas Prevention WITS System by CSAP Strategies. |
| Baseline Measurement: | 1,122,046 |
| First-year target/outcome measurement: | Increase the population served by 2% |
| Second-year target/outcome measurement: | Increase the population served by 3% |
| New Second-year target/outcome measurem | nt(if needed): |
| Data Source: | |
| Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Surv certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System | y (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line traini |
| New Data Source(if needed): | |
| Description of Data: | |
| | APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs a 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance |
| Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates. | eteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training |
| | This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both he profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance |
| areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre interventions/activities according to the pla but thorough collection of data required by | onality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service ention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate . Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rap he Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be and planning activities |
| used for subsequent evaluation, assessment | |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) | |

mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and

protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

| Report of Progress Toward Goa | al Attainment |
|---|---|
| First Year Target: Achiev | _ |
| Thist real ranget. | |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved <i>(optional)</i> : | |
| Second Year Target: | |
| second real ranges. | |
| Reason why target was not achieved, and cha | anges proposed to meet target: |
| How second year target was achieved (option | al): |
| | |
| Indicator #: | 3 |
| Indicator: | Number of completed on-line training for Center for Prevention and Training for Military |
| Baseline Measurement: | 0% |
| First-year target/outcome measurement: | Increase the number of completed online trainings by 2% |
| Second-year target/outcome measurement: | Increase the number of completed online trainings by 3% |
| New Second-year target/outcome measurem | ent(if needed): |
| Data Source: | |
| State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (| (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System |
| New Data Source(if needed): | |
| | |
| Description of Data: | |
| Enhance or expand data being collected by v certificates. | veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training |
| | This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both |
| statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. | the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance |
| - | tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service |
| | vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid |
| | the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be |
| New Description of Data:(if needed) | |
| Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meas | sures: |
| mental health and treatment data. WITS satis | a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies. |
| | measures: |
| New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome | |
| | al Attain no ant |
| Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: | _ |

| | Second Year Target: | ✓ Achieved | Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) | |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | Reason why target was not | achieved, and changes prop | posed to meet target: | |
| | How second year target was | s achieved (optional): | | |
| | | | | |
| 0930-0 | 0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Exp | pires: 06/30/2020 | | |
| Foot | tnotes: | | | |

MHBG Table 2A (URS Table 7) - MHBG State Agency Expenditure Report

This table describes expenditures for public mental health services provided or funded by the State mental health agency by source of funding. Include ONLY funds expended by the executive branch agency administering the MH Block Grant.

| Activity (See instructions for using Row 1.) | A. SA Block Grant | B. MH Block Grant | C. Medicaid (Federal, State, and Local) | D. Other Federal Funds (e.g., ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare) SAMHSA, etc.) | E. State Funds | F. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid) | G. Other |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|-------------------|---|-----------|
| Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment | | | | | | | |
| a. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children | | | | | | | |
| b. All Other | | | | | | | |
| 2. Primary Prevention* | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 3. Evidence-Based Practices for Early Serious Mental Illness** | | \$855,086 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 4. Tuberculosis Services | | | | | | | |
| 5. HIV Early Intervention Services | | | | | | | |
| 6. State Hospital | | | \$3,584,875 | \$2,261,391 | \$40,443,341 | \$1,404,871 | \$996,541 |
| 7. Other 24 Hour Care | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$36,328,341 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 8. Ambulatory/Community Non- 24 Hour Care | | \$5,551,591 | \$0 | \$1,748,145 | \$24,147,775 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 9. Administration (Excluding Program and Provider Level) | | \$140,360 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,176,189 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 10. Total | \$0 | \$6,547,037 | \$3,584,875 | \$4,009,536 | \$102,095,646 | \$1,404,871 | \$996,541 |

^{*}States may only use MHBG funds to provide primary prevention services to the priority populations of adults with serious mental illness and children with severe emotional disturbance

| Actual | C Estimated | |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 0930-0168 App | roved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | |
| Footnotes: | | |

Please indicate the expenditures are actual or estimated.

^{**}Column 3B is for expenditures related to ESMI including First Episode Psychosis programs funded through MHBG set-aside. These funds are not to be also counted in #8 Ambulatory/Community Non 24-Hour Care.

MHBG Table 2B (URS Table 7A) - MHBG State Agency Early Serious Mental Illness and First Episode Psychosis Expenditures Report

| Source of Funds | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---|----------------|---|----------|--|--|--|
| Activity (See instructions for using Row 1.) | A. Mental Health Block Grant | B. Medicaid (Federal State & Local) | C. Other Federal Funds (e.g. ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare), SAMHSA, etc.) | D. State Funds | E. Local funds (excluding local Medicaid) | F. Other | | | |
| 1. CSC-Evidences-Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis* | \$855,086 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Training for CSC Practices | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Planning for CSC Practices | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| Other Early Serious Mental Illnesses programs (other than FEP or partial CSC programs) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| 3. Training for ESMI | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| 4. Planning for ESMI | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |
| 7. Total | \$855,086 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | | |

^{**}When reporting CSC-Evidences-Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis, report only those programs that are providing all the components of a CSC model. If the state uses certain components of a CSC model, please report them in 'Other Early Serious Mental Illness program (other than FEP or partial CSC programs)'.

| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 |
|--|
| |
| Footnotes: |
| Totalotes. |
| |
| |

MHBG Table 3 - Set-aside for Children's Mental Health Services

| Statewide Expenditures for Children's Mental Health Services | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Actual SFY 1994 | Actual SFY 2018 | Expense Type | | | | | | |
| \$2,955,792 \$7,008,968 \$5,736,349 • Actual • Estin | | | | | | | | |
| If <u>estimated</u> expenditures are provided, please indicate when <u>actual</u> expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA: | | | | | | | | |
| States and jurisdictions are required not to spend less than the amount expended in FY 1994. | | | | | | | | |
| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | | | | | | | | |
| Footnotes: | | | | | | | | |

MHBG Table 4 (URS Table 8) - Profile Of Mental Health Block Grant Expenditures for Non-Direct Service Activities

This table is used to describe the use of MHBG funds for non-direct service activities that are sponsored, or conducted, by the State Mental Health Authority

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| Activity | Total of Block Grant | | |
|--|----------------------|--|--|
| 1. Information Systems | \$ | | |
| 2. Infrastructure Support | \$ | | |
| 3. Partnerships, Community Outreach and Needs Assessment | \$ | | |
| 4. Planning Council Activities | \$25,000 | | |
| 5. Quality Assurance and Improvement | \$ | | |
| 6. Research and Evaluation | \$ | | |
| 7. Training and Education | \$140,150 | | |
| Total Non-Direct Services | \$165,150 | | |
| Comments on Data: | | | |

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

| Footnotes: | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

MHBG Table 5 (URS Table 10) - Profiles of Agencies Receiving Block Grant Funds Directly from the State MHA

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| | | | | Amount of MH Block Grant Allocation to Agency | | | | | y | |
|---------------|---|--|------------------------------|---|-------|-------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| Entity Number | Area Served (Statewide or Sub- State Planning Area) | Provider/Program Name | Street Address | City | State | Zip | Total Block Grant Funds | Adults with Serious Mental Illness | Children with Serious Emotional Disturbance | Set-aside for ESMI/FEP Programs |
| 1 | Sub-State Planning Area | Community Counseling Services (Ouachita Regional) | 125 Dons Way | Hot Springs | AR | 71923 | \$692,309.00 | \$558,132.00 | \$71,240.00 | |
| 2 | Sub-State Planning Area | Counseling Associates | 350 Salem, Suite 1 | Conway | AR | 72032 | \$818,299.00 | \$630,180.00 | \$113,728.00 | |
| 3 | Sub-State Planning Area | Counseling Clinic | 307 East Sevier Street | Benton | AR | 72015 | \$605,796.00 | \$500,452.00 | \$50,272.00 | |
| 4 | Sub-State Planning Area | Delta Counseling Associates | 790 Roberts Drive | Monticello | AR | 71655 | \$688,236.00 | \$590,141.00 | \$35,528.00 | |
| 14 | Statewide Planning Area | GAIN Inc | 712 W. 3rd Street STE 100 | Little Rock | AR | 72201 | \$83,459.00 | \$75,872.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 5 | Sub-State Planning Area | Health Resources of Arkansas/Preferred Family Healthcare, Inc. | 25 Gap Road | Batesville | AR | 72503 | \$61,090.00 | \$43,903.00 | \$11,633.00 | |
| 6 | Sub-State Planning Area | Little Rock CMHC | 4400 Shuffield Drive | Little Rock | AR | 72205 | \$626,051.00 | \$569,137.00 | \$0.00 | |
| 7 | Sub-State Planning Area | Mid-South Health Systems | 2707 Browns Lane | Jonesboro | AR | 72401 | \$1,276,382.00 | \$1,106,515.00 | \$53,832.00 | |
| 8 | Sub-State Planning Area | Ozark Guidance Center | 2400 South 48th Street | Springdale | AR | 72766 | \$1,200,470.00 | \$792,269.00 | \$299,067.00 | |
| 9 | Sub-State Planning Area | Professional Counseling Associates | 3601 Richards Road | North Little Rock | AR | 72117 | \$775,527.00 | \$576,547.00 | \$128,478.00 | |
| 10 | Sub-State Planning Area | South Arkansas Regional Health Center | 715 North College | El Dorado | AR | 71730 | \$631,243.00 | \$432,470.00 | \$141,387.00 | |
| 11 | Sub-State Planning Area | Southeast Arkansas Behavioral Healthcare System | 2500 Rike Drive | Pine Bluff | AR | 71613 | \$611,316.00 | \$494,078.00 | \$61,664.00 | |
| 12 | Sub-State Planning Area | Southwest Arkansas Counseling & Mental Health Center | 2904 Arkansas Boulevard | Texarkana | AR | 71854 | \$604,955.00 | \$497,243.00 | \$52,716.00 | |
| 13 | Sub-State Planning Area | Western Arkansas Counseling & Guidance Center | 3111 South 70th Street | Fort Smith | AR | 72917 | \$730,809.00 | \$548,406.00 | \$115,966.00 | |
| Total | | | | | | | \$9,405,942.00 | \$7,415,345.00 | \$1,135,511.00 | \$0.00 |

| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | |
|--|--|
| Footnotes: | |

MHBG Table 6 - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures on Mental Health Services

| | | | | | Total Exp | enditures for SMH | на | |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|------------|--|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | Period | | | | Expenditur | es | <u>B1(2017) + B2(2018)</u> 2 | |
| | (A) | | | | (B) | | (C) | |
| | SFY 2017 (1) | | | | | \$64,195,128 | | |
| | SFY 2018 (2) | | | | | \$61,802,243 | \$62 | ,998,686 |
| | SFY 2019 (3) | | | | | \$61,447,003 | | |
| , | Are the expenditure amount | | | | ual" expenditure | es for the State fisc | cal years involved? | |
| | SFY 2017 | Yes | | _ No _ | | | | |
| | SFY 2018 SFY 2019 | Yes Yes | X | - ^{No} - - ^{No} - | | | | |
| | f estimated expenditures ar | e provide | ed, pleas | se indicate v | when actual exp | enditure data will l | be submitted to SAMHSA: | _ |
| | 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/ | 2017 Expi | ires: 06/3 | 30/2020 | | | | |
| | Footnotes: | | | | | | | |

MHBG Table 7 (URS Table 1) - Profile of the State Population by Diagnosis

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

| | Current Report Year | Three Years Forward |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Adults with Serious Illness (SMI) | | |
| Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED) | | |
| Note: This Table will be completed for the States by CMHS. 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | | |
| Footnotes: | | |

MHBG Table 8A and MHBG Table 8B (URS Tables 2A and 2B) - Profile of Persons Served, All Programs by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

This table provides an aggregate profile of persons in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available. This profile is based on a client receiving services in programs provided or funded by the state mental health agency. The client profile takes into account all institutional and community services for all such programs. Please provide unduplicated counts if possible.

Table 8A

Total

Pregnant

Women

40,038

36,003

98 76,139

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019 Total American Indian or Asian Black or African Native Hawaiian or White Hispanic use only if More Than One Race Race Not Available Other Pacific Islander data for MHBG Table Alaska Native American Reported 8B are not available Female Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Female Male Not Total Not Female Male Not Female Male Not Not **Female** Male Not Not Not Not Available Available Available Available Available Available Available Available Available 0-12 8,404 13,790 1,556 2,720 4,173 1,716 2,462 5,372 years 13-17 4,993 10,187 2,921 2,831 1,387 1,101 5,178 years 18-20 1,908 1,646 3,582 1,128 years 21-24 2,222 1,899 4,127 1,289 1,050 years 25-44 2,571 12,915 11,085 24,026 2,544 8,175 6,543 2,002 1,812 years 45-64 10,493 7,005 17,505 2,284 1,614 6,740 4,360 1,332 years 65-74 1.563 2.355 1.088 years 75+ years Not Available

0 24,342

20,475

0 0

| Are these numbers unduplicated? | Unduplicated | Duplicated : between Hospitals and Community | Duplicated : Among Community Programs |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Duplicated between childre and adults | n Other : describe | |

7,484

7,689

154 145

120 108

7,528 7,206

| Comments on Data (for Age): | |
|--|--|
| Comments on Data (for Gender): | |
| Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity): | |
| Comments on Data (Overall): | |

| | Not F | lispanic or I | Latino | His | panic or Lat | tino | Hispanic or L | atino Origin I | Not Available | | То | tal | |
|----------------|--------|---------------|------------------|--------|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Total |
| 0-12 years | 3,964 | 6,326 | 14 | 440 | 654 | 0 | 968 | 1,424 | 0 | 5,372 | 8,404 | 14 | 13,790 |
| 13-17 years | 4,030 | 4,072 | 6 | 425 | 363 | 0 | 723 | 558 | 10 | 5,178 | 4,993 | 16 | 10,187 |
| 18-20 years | 1,560 | 1,332 | 5 | 99 | 89 | 0 | 249 | 225 | 23 | 1,908 | 1,646 | 28 | 3,582 |
| 21-24 years | 1,901 | 1,552 | 4 | 69 | 101 | 1 | 252 | 246 | 1 | 2,222 | 1,899 | 6 | 4,127 |
| 25-44 years | 11,357 | 9,638 | 23 | 335 | 313 | 0 | 1,223 | 1,134 | 3 | 12,915 | 11,085 | 26 | 24,026 |
| 45-64 years | 9,595 | 6,344 | 6 | 154 | 113 | 0 | 744 | 548 | 1 | 10,493 | 7,005 | 7 | 17,505 |
| 65-74 years | 1,455 | 721 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 94 | 64 | 0 | 1,563 | 791 | 1 | 2,355 |
| 75+ years | 361 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 20 | 0 | 387 | 180 | 0 | 567 |
| Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 34,223 | 30,140 | 59 | 1,536 | 1,644 | 1 | 4,279 | 4,219 | 38 | 40,038 | 36,003 | 98 | 76,139 |
| Pregnant Women | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Comments on Data (for Age): | | |
|---|------|--|
| Comments on Data (for Gender): | | |
| Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity): | | |
| Comments on Data (Overall): | | |
| 930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/ Footnotes: | 2020 | |

MHBG Table 9 (URS Table 3) - Profile of Persons served in the Community Mental Health Settings, State Psychiatric Hospitals and Other Settings

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

This table provides a profile for the clients that received public funded mental health services in community mental health settings, in state psychiatric hospitals, in other psychiatric inpatient programs, and in residential treatment centers for children.

Note: Clients can be duplicated between Rows: e.g., The same client may be served in both state psychiatric hospitals and community mental health centers during the same year and thus would be reported in counts for both rows.

| Service Setting | | Age 0-17 | | | Age 18-2 | 0 | | Age 21-6 | 4 | | Age 65+ | | Age | Not Avai | ilable | | To | otal | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|------------------|--------|----------|------------------|--------|----------|------------------|--------|---------|------------------|--------|----------|------------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Total |
| Community Mental Health Programs | 10,535 | 13,330 | 30 | 1,904 | 1,613 | 28 | 25,540 | 19,633 | 39 | 1,947 | 958 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39,926 | 35,534 | 98 | 75,558 |
| State Psychiatric Hospitals | 15 | 67 | 0 | 4 | 33 | 0 | 90 | 356 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 469 | 0 | 581 |
| Other Psychiatric Inpatient | 4 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 32 | 0 | 206 | 353 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 387 | 0 | 623 |
| Residential Treatment Centers | 67 | 64 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 98 | 246 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 318 | 0 | 492 |

| Comments on Data (for Age): |
|--|
| Comments on Data (for Gender): |
| Comments on Data (Overall): |
| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 |

| 0330 01007 pp10ved. 00/07/2017 Expires. 00/30/2020 |
|--|
| |
| |
| Footnotes: |
| rootilotes. |
| |
| |
| |

MHBG Table 10A and MHBG Table 10B (URS Tables 5A and 5B) - Profile of Clients by Type of Funding Support

Table 10A

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

This table provides a summary of clients by Medicaid coverage. Since the focus of the reporting is on clients of the public mental health service delivery system, this table focuses on the clientele serviced by public programs that are funded or operated by the State Mental Health Authority. Persons are to be counted in the Medicaid row if they received a service reimbursable through Medicaid.

Please note that the same person may be served in both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid programs during the same reporting period.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| Experiunt | ire i enoc | | | 1,2010 | | | | lou Liiu | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|--------------|--------|-----------------|-------|--------------|----------|-------|--------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------|--------------|---------|-----------|--------------|----------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------|-------|--------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| | | Tot | al | | Ameri or Ala | | | , | Asian | | | or Afri mericar | | Native or Ot Is | | cific | | White | | Hispai if data Table av | for I | MHBG ire not | Race | Repo | | Race N | Not Ava | ilable |
| | Female | Male | Not Avail | Total | Female | Male | Not Avail | Female | Male | Not Avail | Female | Male | Not Avail | | Male | Not Avail | Female | Male | Not Avail | Female | Male | Not Avail | Female | Male | Not Avail | Female | Male | Not Avail |
| Medicaid (only Medicaid) | 19,404 | 17,642 | 18 | 37,064 | 81 | 67 | 0 | 44 | 34 | 0 | 4,023 | 4,195 | 5 | 26 | 18 | 0 | 11,087 | 9,360 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 230 | 0 | 3,913 | 3,738 | 0 |
| Non- Medicaid Sources (only) | 18,084 | 15,836 | 22 | 33,942 | 67 | 66 | 0 | 70 | 66 | 0 | 3,131 | 3,079 | 3 | 21 | 18 | 0 | 11,517 | 9,614 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 105 | 0 | 3,157 | 2,888 | 10 |
| People Served by Both Medicaid and Non- Medicaid Sources | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Medicaid Status Not Available | 2,550 | 2,525 | 58 | 5,133 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 330 | 415 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1,738 | 1,501 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 458 | 580 | 46 |
| Total Served | 40,038 | 36,003 | 98 | 76,139 | 154 | 145 | 0 | 120 | 108 | 0 | 7,484 | 7,689 | 9 | 50 | 37 | 0 | 24,342 | 20,475 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 360 | 343 | 0 | 7,528 | 7,206 | 56 |
| | | • | • | V D | ata Base | ed on | Medic | aid Serv | ices | • | | ata Bas | ed or | n Medica | ıl Eligi | bility, | not Med | dicaid Pa | id Ser | vices | | 'Peo | ple Serv | ed By | Both' | include | s peop | le with |
| Comment See Gene | | | ce): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comment | s on Data | a (for Ge | nder): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comment | s on Data | a (Overal | l): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Each row should have a unique (unduplicated) count of clients: (1) Medicaid Only, (2) Non-Medicaid Only, (3) Both Medicaid and Other Sources funded their treatment, and (4) Medicaid Status Not Available.

If a state is unable to unduplicate between people whose care is paid for by Medicaid only or Medicaid and other funds, then all data should be reported into the 'People Served by Both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid Sources' and the 'People Served by Both includes people with any Medicaid' check box should be checked.

Table 10B

Of the total persons covered by Medicaid, please indicate the gender and number of persons who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. Total persons covered by Medicaid would be the total indicated in Table 10A.

Please note that the same person may be served in both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid programs during the same reporting period.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| | Not H | ispanic or I | Latino | Hisp | oanic or La | tino | | ic or Latino Unknown | _ | Total | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--|--|
| | Female | Male | Not Avail | Female | Male | Not Avail | Female | Male | Not Avail | Female | Male | Not Avail | Total | | |
| Medicaid Only | 16,308 | 14,558 | 18 | 980 | 1,063 | 0 | 2,116 | 2,021 | 0 | 19,404 | 17,642 | 18 | 37,064 | | |
| Non- Medicaid Only | 15,854 | 13,741 | 18 | 479 | 501 | 1 | 1,751 | 1,594 | 3 | 18,084 | 15,836 | 22 | 33,942 | | |
| People Served by Both Medicaid and Non- Medicaid Sources | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Medicaid Status Unknown | 2,061 | 1,841 | 23 | 77 | 80 | 0 | 412 | 604 | 35 | 2,550 | 2,525 | 58 | 5,133 | | |
| Total Served | 34,223 | 30,140 | 59 | 1,536 | 1,644 | 1 | 4,279 | 4,219 | 38 | 40,038 | 36,003 | 98 | 76,139 | | |

Comments on Data (for Gender):

Comments on Data (Overall):

See General Notes

Each row should have a unique (unduplicated) count of clients: (1) Medicaid Only, (2) Non-Medicaid Only, (3) Both Medicaid and Other Sources funded their treatment, and (4) Medicaid Status Unknown.

| Footnotes: | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

MHBG Table 11 (URS Table 6) - Profile of Client Turnover

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| Profile of Service Utilization | Total Served at Beginning of Year (unduplicated) | Admissions During the year (duplicated) | Discharges During the year (duplicated) | Days): Di | Length of Stay (in Days): Discharged Patients | | in Facility r or Less: Length of Days): at end of ar | For Clients in Facility More Than 1 Year: Average Length of Stay (in Days): Residents at end of year | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|---|---|--------|
| | | | | Average (Mean) | Median | Average (Mean) | Median | Average (Mean) | Median |
| State Hospitals | 207 | 377 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Children (0 to 17 years) | 21 | 26 | 14 | 196 | 125 | 138 | 101 | 541 | 401 |
| Adults (18 yrs and over) | 186 | 351 | 353 | 162 | 86 | 105 | 71 | 668 | 495 |
| Age Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Psychiactric Inpatient | 128 | 1,452 | 1,364 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Children (0 to 17 years) | 0 | 4 | 4 | 26 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adults (18 yrs and over) | 128 | 1,448 | 1,360 | 14 | 7 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Age Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential Tx Centers | 155 | 381 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Children (0 to 17 years) | 155 | 381 | 335 | 74 | 66 | 74 | 66 | 0 | 0 |
| Adults (18 yrs and over) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Community Programs | 44,883 | 24,035 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Children (0 to 17 years) | 14,406 | 7,613 | | | | | | | |
| Adults (18 yrs and over) | 30,477 | 16,422 | | | | | | | |
| Age Not Available | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | |

Comments on Data (State Hospital):

Comments on Data (Other Inpatient):

Comments on Data (Residential Treatment):

Comments on Data (Community Programs):

Comments on Data (Overall):

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:

MHBG Table 12 (URS Table 12) - State Mental Health Agency Profile

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

Populations Served

Which of the following populations receive services operated or funded by the state mental health agency? Please indicate if they are included

| | in the data provided in | tne tables. (Check a | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Population | ons Covered: | Included in Data | | | |
| | | State | e Hospitals | Community Programs | State Hospitals | Community Programs | | |
| 1. Age | ed 0 to 3 | | Yes | ▼ Yes | ☐ Yes | ▼ Yes | | |
| 2. Age | ed 4 to 17 | | ✓ Yes | ▼ Yes | ▼ Yes | ▼ Yes | | |
| 3. Adı | ılts Aged 18 and over | | ▼ Yes | ▼ Yes | ▼ Yes | ▼ Yes | | |
| 4. For | ensics | | ✓ Yes | ▼ Yes | ▼ Yes | ▼ Yes | | |
| Comn | nents on Data: | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Do all of the adults and serious emotional dist | | ough the state | mental health agency mee | t the Federal definitions of | serious mental illness and | | |
| 2.a. 2.a.1. | serious emotional distr | urbance? | Serious Emotional Disturbances ercentage of persons served for the reporting period who met the federal definitions of serious mental illness and nice? Sederal definition of SMI: 51.3 % | | | | | |
| .a.2. | 2. Percentage of children/adolescents meeting Federal definition of SED: 5. | | | 54.4 % | | | | |
| . | Co-Occurring Mental H | Health and Substance | Abuse: | | | | | |
| .a. | What percentage of pe | ersons served by the | SMHA for the r | reporting period have a dua | l diagnosis of mental illness | and substance abuse? | | |
| 3.a.1. Percentage of adults served by the SMHA who also have a diagnosis of substance abuse problem: 12.4 % | | | | | | 12.4 % | | |
| .a.2. | .2. Percentage of children/adolescents served by the SMHA who also have a diagnosis of substance abuse problem: | | | | | | | |
| .b. | What percentage of pe | | | | initions of adults with SMI a | and children/adolescents | | |
| .b.1. | b.1. Percentage of adults meeting Federal definition of SMI who also have a diagnosis of substance abuse problem: | | | | | 12.5 % | | |
| .b.2. | Percentage of children/adolescents meeting the Federal definition of SED who also have a diagnosis of substance abuse problem: | | | | | | | |
| 3.b.3. | Please describe how you the number of persons disorders. | | nt The state re disorder." | eporting system has a specif | ic reporting field for "co-oco | curring substance abuse | | |
| 4. | State Mental Health A | gency Responsibilitie | es | | | | | |
| | a. Medicaid: Does the S Medicaid? (Check All th | | Agency have ar | ny of the following responsi | bilities for mental health ser | vices provided through | | |

1. State Medicaid Operating Agency

2. Setting Standards

| | 3. Quality Improvement/Program Compliance | V | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 4. Resolving Consumer Complaints | | | | | | | | |
| | 5. Licensing | | | | | | | | |
| | 6. Sanctions 7. Other | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | b. Managed Care (Mental Health Managed Care) | | | Are Data for these programs reported on URS Tables? | | | | | |
| 4.b.1 | Does the State have a Medicaid Managed Care initia | tive? | Yes | Yes | | | | | |
| 4.b.2 | Does the State Mental Health Agency have any responsible through Medicaid Managed Care? | onsibilities for mental health services provided | Yes | | | | | | |
| - | please check the responsibilities the SMHA has: | MCO PUO. | ☐ Yes | | | | | | |
| 4.b.3 | Direct contractual responsibility and oversight of the | e MCOs or BHOs | ☐ Yes | | | | | | |
| 4.b.4 | Setting Standards for mental health services | | | | | | | | |
| 4.b.5 | Coordination with state health and Medicaid agenci | es | Yes | | | | | | |
| 4.b.6 | Resolving mental health consumer complaints | | ☐ Yes | | | | | | |
| 4.b.7 | Input in contract development | | Yes | | | | | | |
| 4.b.8 | Performance monitoring | | Yes | | | | | | |
| 4.b.9 | Other | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Data Reporting: Please describe the extent to which different parts of your mental health system. Please across your entire mental health system. | - | • | | | | | | |
| | Are the data reporting in the tables? | | | | | | | | |
| 5.a. 5.b. 5.c. 5.d. 5.e. | community mental health agencies responsible for different geographic or programmatic areas. Duplicated: across state hospital and community programs Duplicated: within community programs Duplicated: Between Child and Adult Agencies | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Summary Administrative Data | | | | | | | | |
| 6.a. | Report Year: | 2018 | | | | | | | |
| 6.b. | State Identifier: | AR | | | | | | | |
| 6.c. | Summary Information on Data Submitted by SMHA: Year being reported: | 7/1/2018 12:00:00 AM to 6/30/2019 12:00:00 A | M | | | | | | |
| 6.d. | Person Responsible for Submission: | Eric Tedford | | | | | | | |
| 6.e. | Contact Phone Number: | 5016869037 | | | | | | | |
| 6.f. | Contact Address: | 700 Main St. Little Rock, AR 72201 | | | | | | | |
| 6.g. | E-mail: | eric.tedford@dhs.arkansas.gov | | | | | | | |
| • | 0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Foot | notes: | | | | | | | | |

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Tables 13A and 13B (URS Tables 14A and 14B) - Profile of Persons with SMI/SED Served By Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

Table 13A

This table requests counts for persons with SMI or SED using the definitions provided by the CMHS. URS Table's 2A and 2B included all clients served by publicly operated or funded programs. This table counts only clients who meet the CMHS definition of SMI or SED. For many states, this table may be the same as URS Table's 2A and 2B. For 2007, states should report using the Federal Definitions of SMI and SED if they can report them, if not, please report using your state's definitions of SMI and SED and provide information below describing your state's definition.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019 Total **More Than One Race** American Indian or Black or African Native Hawaiian or White Hispanic use only if Race Not Available Asian Alaska Native Other Pacific Islander data for MHBG Table American Reported 13b are not available Female Male Not Total Female Male Not Available Available Available Available Available Available Available Available Available 0-12 2,768 4,721 7,493 28 0 417 873 1,579 2,514 0 55 101 699 1,197 0 3 0 years 13-17 2,792 2,759 5,554 0 0 422 574 0 9 1,683 1,649 0 0 51 37 0 621 477 years 18-20 756 201 834 0 1.590 0 0 147 0 0 530 444 0 0 0 0 14 139 0 99 years 21-24 1.721 916 805 0 0 195 208 0 0 573 466 0 0 0 0 12 0 132 112 years 25-44 6,336 5,308 10 11,654 21 22 0 20 15 1,480 1,560 3 4,151 3,248 0 0 34 25 0 622 435 years 45-64 6,292 3,941 10,237 20 12 0 13 20 1,659 1,090 0 4,062 2,531 0 0 29 502 271 years 65-74 893 428 0 1,321 0 0 0 231 148 0 605 252 0 0 0 0 51 25 years 75+ 172 74 0 246 0 Ω 37 16 Ω 0 127 51 0 Ω 0 0 years Not 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Available Pregnant 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Women Total 21,003 18,792 21 39,816 67 77 0 48 51 4,588 4,670 24 17 13,310 11,155 17 0 0 192 199 2,774 2,623 Comments on Data (for Age): Comments on Data (for Gender): Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):

| State | Defi | nitio | ns Ma | tch the Federal Definitions | |
|-------|------|-------|-------|---|--------------------|
| • | Yes | 0 | No | Adults with SMI, if No describe or attach state definition: | \rightarrow |
| | | | | Diagnoses included in the state SMI definition: | \Diamond |
| (•) | Yes | 0 | Nο | Children with SED, if No describe or attach state definition: | ^ |

Table 13B

Comments on Data (Overall):

Of the total persons served, please indicate the age, gender and the number of persons who meet the Federal definition of SMI and SED and who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. The total persons served who meet the Federal definition of SMI or SED should be the total as indicated in Table 14A. Please report the data under the categories listed - "Total" are calculated automatically.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

Diagnoses included in the state SED definition:

| | Not F | lispanic or l | anic or Latino Hispanic or Latino Hi | | | Hispanic or L | | Total | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------|------|------------------|--------|-------|---------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Total |
| 0-12 years | 2,207 | 3,697 | 4 | 175 | 310 | 0 | 386 | 714 | 0 | 2,768 | 4,721 | 4 | 7,493 |
| 13-17 years | 2,244 | 2,328 | 3 | 211 | 173 | 0 | 337 | 258 | 0 | 2,792 | 2,759 | 3 | 5,554 |
| 18-20 years | 698 | 639 | 0 | 46 | 42 | 0 | 90 | 75 | 0 | 834 | 756 | 0 | 1,590 |
| 21-24 years | 793 | 679 | 0 | 32 | 47 | 0 | 91 | 79 | 0 | 916 | 805 | 0 | 1,721 |
| 25-44 years | 5,686 | 4,783 | 10 | 139 | 154 | 0 | 511 | 371 | 0 | 6,336 | 5,308 | 10 | 11,654 |
| 45-64 years | 5,838 | 3,666 | 3 | 82 | 57 | 0 | 372 | 218 | 1 | 6,292 | 3,941 | 4 | 10,237 |
| 65-74 years | 843 | 408 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 42 | 17 | 0 | 893 | 428 | 0 | 1,321 |
| 75+ years | 165 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 172 | 74 | 0 | 246 |
| Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pregnant Women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 18,474 | 16,268 | 20 | 693 | 789 | 0 | 1,836 | 1,735 | 1 | 21,003 | 18,792 | 21 | 39,816 |
| Comments on Data (for Age): | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (for Genc | ler): | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity): | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Comments on Data (Overall): | | | | | | | | | |
| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | | | | | | | | | |
| Footnotes: | | | | | | | | | |

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 14 (URS Table 15A) - Profile of Persons served in the community mental health setting, State Psychiatric Hospitals and Other Settings for Adults with SMI and Children with SED

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| Service Setting | | Age 0-17 | | | Age 18-20 | 0 | | Age 21-6 | 4 | | Age 65+ | | Age | Not Avai | ilable | | T | otal | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|----------|------------------|--------|-----------|------------------|--------|----------|------------------|--------|---------|------------------|--------|----------|------------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Total |
| Community Mental Health Programs | 5,545 | 7,413 | 7 | 830 | 723 | 0 | 13,454 | 9,698 | 14 | 1,062 | 489 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,891 | 18,323 | 21 | 39,235 |
| State Psychiatric Hospitals | 15 | 67 | 0 | 4 | 33 | 0 | 90 | 356 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 469 | 0 | 581 |
| Other Psychiatric Inpatient | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 66 | 83 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 99 | 0 | 181 |
| Residential Treatment Centers | 18 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 98 | 245 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 265 | 0 | 387 |

Comments on Data (for Age):

Comments on Data (for Gender):

Comments on Data (Overall):

Note: Clients can be duplicated between Rows (e.g. The same client may be served in both state psychiatric hospitals and community mental health centers during the same year and thus would be reported in counts for both rows). 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:

MHBG Table 15A (URS Table 4) - Profile of Adult Clients by Employment Status

This table describes the status of adult clients served in the reporting year by the public mental health system, in terms of employment status. The focus is on employment for the working age population, recognizing, however, there are clients who are disabled, retired, or who are homemakers, care-givers, etc., and not a part of the workforce. These persons should be reported in the "Not in Labor Force" category. Unemployed refers to persons who are looking for work but have not found employment. Data should be reported for clients in non-institutional settings at time of discharge or last evaluation.

| Adults Served | | 18-20 | | | 21-64 | | | 65+ | | Ag | e Not Availa | ble | | Т | otal | |
|---|---------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------|------|------------------|--------|--------------|------------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Available | Female | Male | Not Avail | Total |
| Employed: Competitively Employed Full or Part Time (includes Supported Employment) | 318 | 192 | 1 | 4,910 | 4,014 | 4 | 95 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,323 | 4,269 | 5 | 9,597 |
| Unemployed | 416 | 361 | 1 | 9,246 | 6,975 | 11 | 389 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,051 | 7,487 | 12 | 17,550 |
| Not In Labor Force: Retired, Sheltered Employment, Sheltered Workshops, Other (homemaker, student, volunteer, disabled, etc.) | 834 | 770 | 0 | 6,962 | 5,151 | 2 | 1,072 | 549 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,868 | 6,470 | 2 | 15,340 |
| Not Available | 336 | 290 | 26 | 4,422 | 3,493 | 22 | 391 | 195 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,149 | 3,978 | 49 | 9,176 |
| Total | 1,904 | 1,613 | 28 | 25,540 | 19,633 | 39 | 1,947 | 958 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,391 | 22,204 | 68 | 51,663 |
| How Often Does your State Measure Employment Status? | ☐ At Ad | Imission \square | At Discharge | ☐ Monthly | ☐ Quarterl | y Other, o | describe: by provider | | | | | | | | | |
| What populations are included: | All cli | ents C Onl | y selected gr | oups, descril | oe: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (for Age): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (for Gender) | : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (Overall): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 | Expires: 06/3 | 0/2020 | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| Footnotes: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

MHBG Table 15B (URS Table 4A) - Optional Profile of Adult Clients by Employment Status by Primary Diagnosis Reported

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| Clients Primary Diagnosis | Employed: Competitively Employed Full or Part Time (includes Supported Employment) | Unemployed | Not In Labor Force: Retired, Sheltered Employment, Sheltered Workshops, Other (homemaker, student, volunteer, disabled, etc.) | Employment Status Not Available | Total |
|---|--|------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------|
| Schizophrenia & Related Disorders (F20, F25) | 301 | 2,118 | 3,103 | 1,033 | 6,555 |
| Bipolar and Mood Disorders (F30,F31,F32,F33,F34.1,F60.89,F34.0,F32.9) | 4,614 | 8,718 | 7,351 | 4,212 | 24,895 |
| Other Psychoses (F22,F23,F24,F28,F29) | 105 | 553 | 550 | 294 | 1,502 |
| All Other Diagnoses | 4,434 | 5,988 | 4,247 | 3,320 | 17,989 |
| No DX and Deferred DX (R69,R99,Z03.89) | 143 | 173 | 89 | 317 | 722 |
| Diagnosis Total | 9,597 | 17,550 | 15,340 | 9,176 | 51,663 |

Comments on Data (for Diagnosis):

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

| Footnotes: |
|------------|
|------------|

Adult Consumer Survey Results

MHBG Table 16 (URS Table 9) - Social Connectedness and Improved Functioning

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| 1. Social Connectednes | 5S | 235 | 410 | 57% | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Functioning | | 249 | 418 | 60% | | | | |
| Child/Adolesce | ent Consumer Survey Results | Number of Positive Responses | Responses | Percent Positiv (calculated) | | | | |
| 3. Social Connectednes | is s | 301 | 416 | 72% | | | | |
| 4. Functioning | | 282 | 415 | 68% | | | | |
| Comments on Data: | | | | | | | | |
| | ness and Functioning Measures emmended new Social Connectedness | Questions? • Yes • N | | | | | | |
| 2. Did you use the reco | ommended new Functioning Domain C | | ● Yes ○ No Measure used | | | | | |
| 3. Did you collect these | e as part of your MHSIP Adult Consume | , | • Yes C No If No, what source did you use? | | | | | |
| | nnectedness and Functioning Measure | | | | | | | |
| 5. Did you use the reco | ommended new Functioning Domain C | | | | | | | |
| 6. Did you collect these | as part of your YSS-F Survey? | | ● Yes ○ No If No, what source did you use? | | | | | |
| 0930-0168 Approved: 06 | i/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | | | 1 | | | | |
| Footnotes: | | | | | | | | |

Number of Positive

Responses

Responses

Percent Positive

(calculated)

MHBG Table 17A (URS Table 11) - Summary Profile of Client Evaluation of Care

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| Adult Consumer Survey Results: | Number of Positive Responses | Responses | Confidence Interval* |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1. Reporting Positively about Access. | 336 | 430 | 4 |
| 2. Reporting Positively about Quality and Appropriateness for Adults. | 335 | 422 | 4 |
| 3. Reporting Positively about Outcomes. | 236 | 405 | 5 |
| 4. Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning. | 281 | 404 | 4 |
| 5. Adults Positively about General Satisfaction with Services. | 349 | 430 | 4 |

| Child/Adolescent Consumer Survey Results: | Number of Positive Responses | Responses | Confidence Interval* |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1. Reporting Positively about Access. | 343 | 418 | 4 |
| 2. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction for Children. | 313 | 421 | 4 |
| 3. Reporting Positively about Outcomes for Children. | 267 | 417 | 5 |
| 4. Family Members Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning for their Children. | 348 | 419 | 4 |
| 5. Family Members Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff. | 382 | 418 | 3 |

Please enter the number of persons responding positively to the questions and the number of total responses within each group. Percent positive will be calculated from these data.

| * Please report Confidence Intervals at | the 95% level. See directions below r | regarding the calculation o | of confidence intervals |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | |

Comments on Data:

Adult Consumer Surveys

| 1. | Was the Official 28 Item | n MHSIP Adult Outpatie | nt Consumer Survey Used? | Yes | No |
|----|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----|----|
|----|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----|----|

1.a. If no, which version

| 10, V | thich version: | |
|-------|--------------------------|-------|
| 1. | Original 40 Item Version | ○ Yes |
| 2. | 21-Item Version | ○ Yes |
| 3. | State Variation of MHSIP | • Yes |
| 4. | Other Consumer Survey | ○ Yes |

1.b. If other, please attach instrument used.

| 1.c. Did you use any translations of the MHSIP into another | er language? 🛭 🖟 | ✓ 1. Spanish | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| | Γ | 2. Other Language: | | |
| | | | | |
| Adult Survey Approach | | | | |
| Populations covered in survey? (Note all surveys should cover | all regions of state | e) C 1. All Consumers I | n State © 2. Sam | ple of MH Consumers |
| 2.a. If a sample was used, what sample methodology was | used? 🔘 1. Rar | ndom Sample | | |
| | | tified / Random Stratified S | ample | |
| | © 3. Cor | nvenience Sample | | |
| | ○ 4. Oth | ner Sample: | | |
| | | | _ | |
| 2.b. Do you survey only people currently in services, or do | you also survey pe | ersons no longer in service? | | urrently Receiving Services |
| | | | 2. Persons No | o Longer Receiving Services |
| | | | | |
| 3. Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g | ., all adults, only a | dults with SMI, etc.) 🔽 1. | All Adult Consume | ers In State |
| | | □ 2. | Adults With Seriou | us Mental Illness |
| | | □ 3. | Adults Who Were | Medicaid Eligible Or In Medicaid Managed Care |
| | | □ 4. | Other (for example | e, if you survey anyone served in the last 3 months, describe that here): |
| | | | | |
| 4. Methodology of collecting data? (Check all that apply) | | | | |
| | | Self-Administered | Interview | |
| | Phone | _ | _ | |
| | rnone | ☐ Yes | ☐ Yes | |
| | Mail | ✓ Yes | | |
| | | | | |
| | Face-to-face | ☐ Yes | Yes | |
| | Web-Based | | ☐ Yes | |
| | | ☐ Yes | I Yes | |
| | _ | | | |
| 4.b. Who administered the survey? (Check all that apply) | 1. MH Cons | | | |
| | 2. Family Me | embers | | |
| | 3. Professio | nal Interviewers | | |
| | 4. MH Clinic | cians | | |
| | 5. Non Direc | ct Treatment Staff | | |
| | ▼ 6. Other, de | escribe: | | |
| | Vendor | | | |
| | | | | |

Printed: 1/6/2021 2:26 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

5. Are Responses Anonymous, Confidential and/or Linked to other Patient Databases? 🔽 1. Responses are Anonymous

| | 2. Responses are Conf | idential | | | |
|---|--|------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | ☐ 3. Responses are Mato | thed to Client D | atabases | | |
| | | | | | |
| Sample Size and Response Rate | | | | | |
| 6.a. How Many surveys were Attempted (sent out or calls initiated)? | | | 1,998 | | |
| 6.b. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone n | | | 1,701 | | |
| 6.c. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or cal | • | | 448 | | |
| 6.d. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys div6.e. If you receive "blank" surveys back from consumers (surveys with | | surveys as "con | 26.0 % mpleted" for the calculation of i | esponse rates? © Yes • No | |
| 7. Who Conducted the survey | | | | | |
| 7.a. SMHA Conducted or contracted for the survey (survey done at | t state level) | Yes | © No | | |
| 7.b. Local Mental Health Providers/County mental health providers (survey was done at the local or regional level) | s conducted or contracted for the survey | ○ Yes | • No | | |
| 7.c. Other, describe: | | | | | |
| * Report Confidence Intervals at the 95% confidence level | | | | | |
| The confidence level tells you how sure you can be. It is expressed as a perce can be 95% certain; the 99% confidence level means you can be 99% certain. When you put the confidence level and the confidence interval together, you Child / Family Consumer Surveys 1. Was the MHSIP Children / Family Survey (YSS-F) ✓ Yes | n. Most researchers use the 95% confidence level. | 3 . , , | , | · | ne 35% confidence level means yo |
| Used? | If no, what survey did you use? | | | | |
| If no, please attach instrument used. | | | | | |
| 1.c. Did you use any translations of the Child MHSIP into another land | guage? 🗹 1. Spanish | | | | |
| ,,, | 2. Other Language: | | | | |
| Child Survey Approach | 64.00 | | | | |
| Populations covered in survey? (Note all surveys should cover all regions) | ons of state) C 1. All Consumers In State | e 🖲 2. Samp | le of MH Consumers | | |
| 2.a. If a sample was used, what sample methodology was used? | 1. Random Sample | | | | |
| | 2. Stratified / Random Stratified Sample | | | | |
| | 3. Convenience Sample | | | | |
| | C 4. Other Sample: | | | | |
| 2.b. Do you survey only people currently in services, or do you also | o survey persons no longer in service? | 1. Persons Cur | rently Receiving Services | | |
| | | 2. Persons No | Longer Receiving Services | | |
| 2a. If yes to 2, please describe how your survey persons no lor | nger receiving services. | | | | |
| Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g., all chi | ldren, only children with SED, etc.) | All Child Co | insumers In State | | |

Printed: 1/6/2021 2:26 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

| | | | 2. Children wit | th Serious Emotional Distur | bances | |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|------------|
| | | | 3. Children wh | no were Medicaid Eligible or | r in Medicaid Managed Care | |
| | | | 4. Other (for e | xample, if you survey anyon | ne served in the last 3 months, describe t | hat here): |
| 4. Methodology of collecting data? (Check all that apply) | | | | | | |
| 3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Self-Administered | Interview | | | |
| | Phone | ☐ Yes | ☐ Yes | | | |
| | Mail | ▼ Yes | | | | |
| | Face-to-face | ☐ Yes | ☐ Yes | | | |
| | Web-Based | □ Yes | □ Yes | | | |
| 4.b. Who administered the survey? (Check all that apply) | ☐ 1. MH Cons | umers | | | | |
| | 2. Family Me | embers | | | | |
| | ☐ 3. Professio | nal Interviewers | | | | |
| | ☐ 4. MH Clinic | cians | | | | |
| | ☐ 5. Non Direc | ct Treatment Staff | | | | |
| | ✓ 6. Other, de | scribe: | | | | |
| | Vendor | | | | | |
| 5. Are Responses Anonymous, Confidential and/or Linked to oth | ner Patient Databa | ses? 🗹 1. Responses a | re Anonymous | | | |
| | | 2. Responses a | re Confidential | | | |
| | | ☐ 3. Responses a | re Matched to Client D | atabases | | |
| 6. Sample Size and Response Rate | | | | | | |
| 6.a. How Many surveys were Attempted (sent out or calls initia | ated)? | | | 2,200 | | |
| 6.b. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid | phone numbers or | addresses)? | | 1,869 | | |
| 6.c. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned) | • | | | 423 | | |
| 6.d. What was your response rate? (number of Completed sur | | | * *l | 23.0 % | of managements 2 C Vac 6 No | |
| 6.e. If you receive "blank" surveys back from consumers (surve | eys with no respon | ises on them), ald you coun | t these surveys as con | npieted for the calculation | or response rates? The res | |
| 7. Who Conducted the survey | | | | | | |
| 7.a. SMHA Conducted or contracted for the survey (survey | done at state leve | l) | Yes | ○ No | | |
| 7.b. Local Mental Health Providers/County mental health p (survey was done at the local or regional level) | providers conducte | ed or contracted for the sur | vey C Yes | No No | | |
| 7 c Other describe: Vander | | | | | | |

| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 |
|--|
| |
| Footnotes: |
| |

MHBG Table 17B (URS Table 11A) - Consumer Evaluation of Care by Consumer Characteristics: (Optional Table by Race/Ethnicity)

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

Adult Consumer Survey Results:

*State used the 2 question version for Figure 1 No Please check the appropriate box on the left. The "Totals" formula will automatically adjust to account for which method your state used to ask about Hispanic Origin Hispanic Origin/Status

| Indicators | Indicators Total | | | n Indian or a Native | Asian | | Black or African American | | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | | White | | More Than One Race Reported | | | r / Not ilable | Hispanic Origin | |
|---|------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses |
| 1. Reporting Positively About Access. | 336 | 430 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 78 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 308 | 8 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 16 |
| Reporting Positively About Quality and Appropriateness. | 335 | 422 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 75 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 300 | 10 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 16 |
| 3. Reporting Positively About Outcomes. | 236 | 405 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 58 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 287 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 14 |
| 4. Reporting Positively about Participation in Treatment Planning | 281 | 404 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 64 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 285 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 14 |
| 5. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction | 349 | 430 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 83 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 309 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 16 |
| 6. Social Connectedness | 235 | 410 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 58 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 292 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 14 |
| 7. Functioning | 249 | 418 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 57 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 298 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 15 |

Child/Adolescent Family Survey Results:

*State used the 2 question version for No Please check the appropriate box on the left. The "Totals" formula will automatically adjust to account for which method your state used to ask about Hispanic Origin Hispanic Origin/Status

| Indicators | Indicators Total | | Total American Indian or Alaska Native | | Asian Black or African American | | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | | W | | | | | r / Not ilable | Hispan | Hispanic Origin | | |
|--|------------------|-----------|--|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses | # Positive | Responses |
| 1. Reporting Positively About Access. | 343 | 418 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 75 | 84 | 2 | 2 | 222 | 279 | 21 | 27 | 18 | 19 | 46 | 53 |

| 2. Reporting Positively About General Satisfaction | 313 | 421 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 71 | 85 | 1 | 2 | 199 | 281 | 21 | 27 | 16 | 19 | 41 | 53 |
|--|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|---|---|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 3. Reporting Positively About Outcomes. | 267 | 417 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 57 | 84 | 0 | 2 | 170 | 278 | 21 | 27 | 15 | 19 | 38 | 53 |
| Reporting Positively Participation in Treatment Planning for their Children. | 348 | 419 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 69 | 85 | 1 | 2 | 232 | 279 | 24 | 27 | 16 | 19 | 42 | 52 |
| 5. Reporting Positively About Cultural Sensitivity of Staff. | 382 | 418 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 81 | 85 | 2 | 2 | 252 | 278 | 26 | 27 | 16 | 19 | 46 | 53 |
| 6. Social Connectedness | 301 | 416 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 66 | 84 | 2 | 2 | 196 | 278 | 18 | 26 | 16 | 19 | 41 | 52 |
| 7. Functioning | 282 | 415 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 57 | 84 | 0 | 2 | 184 | 276 | 21 | 27 | 15 | 19 | 39 | 53 |

Comments on Data:

Please enter the number of persons responding positively to the questions and the number of total responses within each group. Percent positive will be calculated from these data. 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

| Footnotes: | |
|------------|--|
| | |

MHBG Table 18 (URS Table 15) - Living Situation Profile

Number of Clients in Each Living Situation as Collected by the Most Recent Assessment in the Reporting Period All Mental Health Programs by Age, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity

Please provide unduplicated counts, if possible. This table provides an aggregate profile of persons served in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available. This profile is based on a client's last known Living Situation.

Please report the data under the Living Situation categories listed - "Total" are calculated automatically.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| | Private Residence | Foster Home | Residential Care | Crisis Residence | Children's Residential Treatment | Institutional Setting | Jail / Correctional Facility | Homeless / Shelter | Other | NA | Total |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|---------------|
| 0-17 | 20,850 | 1,479 | 248 | 8 | 60 | 9 | 185 | 112 | 195 | 831 | 23,977 |
| 18-64 | 40,519 | 143 | 1,541 | 59 | 9 | 277 | 1,089 | 971 | 924 | 3,708 | 49,240 |
| 65+ | 2,515 | 4 | 137 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 22 | 17 | 60 | 147 | 2,922 |
| Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 63,884 | 1,626 | 1,926 | 69 | 69 | 304 | 1,296 | 1,100 | 1,179 | 4,686 | 76,139 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Female | 34,297 | 838 | 841 | 38 | 43 | 84 | 263 | 505 | 598 | 2,531 | 40,038 |
| Male | 29,545 | 787 | 1,085 | 31 | 26 | 219 | 1,005 | 594 | 580 | 2,131 | 36,003 |
| Not Available | 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 98 |
| TOTAL | 63,884 | 1,626 | 1,926 | 69 | 69 | 304 | 1,296 | 1,100 | 1,179 | 4,686 | 76,139 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 256 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 15 | 299 |
| Asian | 193 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 14 | 228 |
| Black/African American | 12,661 | 224 | 559 | 20 | 11 | 121 | 409 | 231 | 280 | 666 | 15,182 |
| Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 74 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 87 |
| White/Caucasian | 38,217 | 875 | 1,160 | 42 | 51 | 151 | 609 | 664 | 723 | 2,358 | 44,850 |
| Hispanic * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| intod: 1/6/2021 2:26 DM Arkonogo 00: | | | | | | | | | | | Do Bod |

Printed: 1/6/2021 2:26 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

| More than One Race Reported | 624 | 27 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 703 |
|------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|----|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Race/Ethnicity Not Available | 11,859 | 487 | 189 | 6 | 7 | 28 | 261 | 181 | 153 | 1,619 | 14,790 |
| TOTAL | 63,884 | 1,626 | 1,926 | 69 | 69 | 304 | 1,296 | 1,100 | 1,179 | 4,686 | 76,139 |

| | Private Residence | Foster Home | Residential Care | Crisis Residence | Children's Residential Treatment | Institutional Setting | Jail / Correctional Facility | Homeless / Shelter | Other | NA | Total |
|---|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Hispanic or Latino Origin | 2,820 | 72 | 26 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 34 | 34 | 45 | 139 | 3,181 |
| Non Hispanic or Latino Origin | 55,492 | 1,206 | 1,798 | 64 | 63 | 291 | 1,065 | 918 | 1,027 | 2,498 | 64,422 |
| Hispanic or Latino Origin Not Available | 5,572 | 348 | 102 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 197 | 148 | 107 | 2,049 | 8,536 |
| TOTAL | 63,884 | 1,626 | 1,926 | 69 | 69 | 304 | 1,296 | 1,100 | 1,179 | 4,686 | 76,139 |

| Comments on Data: | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| How Often Does your State Measure Living Situation? | ☐ At Admission ☐ At Discharge ☐ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ✔ Other: | Describe Varies by provider |
| *Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as an Ethnic C | rigin are not available | |
| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | | |

| 30 01001 pp10100. 00/01/2011 Exp1103. 00/30/2020 | |
|--|--|
| ootnotes: | |
| | |

MHBG Table 19 (URS Table 16) - Profile of Adults With Serious Mental Illnesses And Children With Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Specific Services

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

| Age | Adults with Serious | Mental Illnesses (SMI) | | | Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED) | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | N Receiving Supported Housing | N Receiving Supported Employment | N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment | Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served | N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care | N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy | N Receiving Family Functional Therapy | Total unduplicated N - Children with SED | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-12 years | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 13-17 years | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 18-20 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 21-64 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 65-74 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 75+ years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Not Available | 242 | 54 | 131 | 26,769 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 13,047 | |
| Total | 242 | 54 | 131 | 26,769 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 13,047 | |

| Gender | Adults with Serious | Mental Illnesses (SMI) | | | Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED) | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | N Receiving Supported Housing | N Receiving Supported Employment | N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment | Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served | N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care | N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy | N Receiving Family Functional Therapy | Total unduplicated N - Children with SED | |
| Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Not Available | 242 | 54 | 131 | 26,769 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 13,047 | |

Race/Ethnicity Adults with Serious Mental Illnesses (SMI) Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)

| | N Receiving Supported Housing | N Receiving Supported Employment | N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment | Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served | N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care | N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy | N Receiving Family Functional Therapy | Total unduplicated N - Children with SED |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| American Indian / Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Black / African American | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| White | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| More than one race | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not Available | 242 | 54 | 131 | 26,769 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 13,047 |

| Hispanic/Latino Origin | Adults with Serious | Mental Illnesses (SMI) | | | Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED) | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | N Receiving Supported Housing | N Receiving Supported Employment | N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment | Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served | N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care | N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy | N Receiving Family Functional Therapy | Total unduplicated N - Children with SED | |
| Hispanic / Latino origin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Non Hispanic / Latino | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Not Available | 242 | 54 | 131 | 26,769 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 13,047 | |

| | Adults with Serious | Mental Illnesses (SMI) | | | Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED) | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | N Receiving Supported Housing | N Receiving Supported Employment | N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment | Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served | N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care | N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy | N Receiving Family Functional Therapy | Total unduplicated N - Children with SED | | |
| Do you monitor fidelity for this service? | C Yes ● No | ○ Yes ● No | ○ Yes ● No | | ○ Yes • No | © Yes [©] No | ○ Yes ○ No | | | |
| IF YES, | | | | | | | | | | |
| What fidelity measure do you use? | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Who measures fidelity? | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|-------------------|--|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| How often is fidelity measured? | | | | | | | | | | |
| Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation? | ○ Yes ● No | ℂ Yes ● No | C Yes ● No | | C Yes ● No | ○ Yes ○ No | ℂ Yes ℂ No | | | |
| Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP? | • Yes © No | ○ Yes ● No | • Yes © No | | • Yes © No | ○ Yes ○ No | ○ Yes ○ No | | | |
| * Hispanic is part of the total C Yes C No served. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (overall): See General Notes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (Supported Housing): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (Supported Employment): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (Assertive Community Treatment): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (Theraputic Foster Care): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (Multi-System Therapy): | mic | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments on Data (Family Functional Therapy): | | | | | | | | | | |
| * Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Footnotes: | | | | | | | | | | |

MHBG Table 19A (URS Table 16A) - Adults with Serious Mental Illness and Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Evidence-Based Services for First Episode Psychosis

| Program Name | Number of Adult Admissions into CSC Services During FY | Current Number of Adults with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services | Number of Child/Adolescents Admissions into CSC Services During FY | Current number of Children/Adolecents with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services | Do you moniter fidelity for this service? | What fidelity measure do you use? | Who measures fidelity? | How often is fidelity measured? | Has staff been specifically trained to implement the CSC EBP? |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Western Arkansas Counseling and Guidance Center | 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | Yes • No • | | | | Yes No 🌘 |

| (| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 |
|---|--|
| | |
| | Footnotes: |
| | |
| | |

MHBG Table 20 (URS Table 17) - Profile of Adults with Serious Mental Illnesses Receiving Specific Services during the Year

This table provides a profile of adults with serious mental illness receiving specific evidence-based practices in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available.

| | ADULTS WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Receiving Family Psychoeducation | Receiving Integrated Treatment for Co- occurring Disorders (MH/SA) | Receiving Illness Self Management and Recovery | Receiving Medication Management | | | | | |
| Age | | | | | | | | | |
| 18-20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 21-64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 65-74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| 75+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Not Available | 48 | 1,329 | 2,286 | 30 | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 48 | 1,329 | 2,286 | 30 | | | | | |

| Gender | | | | |
|---------------|----|-------|-------|----|
| Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not Available | 48 | 1,329 | 2,286 | 30 |

| Race | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|-------|-------|----|
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| White | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hispanic* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| More Than One Race | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 48 | 1,329 | 2,286 | 30 |

Hispanic / Latino Origin

| Hispanic / Latino origin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Non Hispanic / Latino | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not Available | 48 | 1,329 | 2,286 | 30 |
| | | | | |
| Do you monitor fidelity for this service? | C Yes ● No | ○ Yes ● No | ○ Yes ● No | ○ Yes ○ No |
| IF YES, | | | | |
| What fidelity measure do you use? | | | | |
| Who measures fidelity? | | | | |
| How often is fidelity measured? | | | | |
| Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation? | C Yes ● No | C Yes ● No | ○ Yes ● No | ○ Yes ○ No |
| Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP? | • Yes • No | • Yes © No | • Yes © No | ○ Yes ○ No |
| *Hispanic is part of the total served. Yes No | | | | |
| Comments on Data (overall): | | | | |
| See General Notes | | | | |
| Comments on Data (Family Psychoeducation): | | | | |
| | | | | |

Comments on Data (Integrated Treatment for Co-occurring Disorders):

| Comments on Data (Illness Self Management and Recovery): | |
|---|--|
| | |
| Comments on Data (Medication Management): | |
| | |
| *Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available | |
| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | |
| Footnotes: | |

MHBG Table 21 (URS Table 19A) - Profile of Criminal Justice or Juvenile Justice Involvement

- 1. The SAMHSA National Outcome Measure for Criminal Justice measures the change in Arrests over time. The DIG Outcomes Workgroup pilot tested 3 consumer self-report items that can be used to provide this information. If your state has used the 3 Consumer self-report items on criminal justice, you may report them here.
- 2. If your SMHA has data on Arrest records from alternatives sources, you may also report that here. If you only have data for arrests for consumers in this year, please report that in the T2 columns. If you can calculate the change in Arrests from T1 to T2, please use all those columns.
- 3. Please complete the check boxes at the bottom of the table to help explain the data sources that you used to complete this table.
- 4. Please tell us anything else that would help us to understand your indicator (e.g., list survey or MIS questions; describe linking methodology and data sources; specify time period for criminal justice involvement; explain whether treatment data are collected).

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

For Consumers in Service for at least 12 months

| | Т1 | | | | T1 to T2 Change | | | | | | Assessment of the Impact of Services | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------------|---|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | | or 12 mont an 1 year a | • | "T2" Most Recent 12 months (this year) | | | If Arres | If Arrested at T1 (Prior 12 If Not Arrested a Months) (Prior 12 Month | | | | | Over the last 12 months, my encounters with the police have | | | | | |
| | Arrested | Not Arrested | No Response | Arrested | Not Arrested | No Response | # with an Arrest in T2 | # with No Arrest at T2 | No Response | # with an Arrest in T2 | # with No Arrest at T2 | No Response | # Reduced (fewer encounters) | # Stayed the Same | # Increased | # Not Applicable | No Response | Total Responses |
| Total | 13 | 456 | 78 | 13 | 453 | 81 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 443 | 7 | 45 | 20 | 7 | 380 | 95 | 547 |
| Total Children/Youth (under age 18) | 4 | 185 | 32 | 3 | 182 | 36 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 178 | 6 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 157 | 38 | 221 |
| Male | 1 | 80 | 10 | 1 | 78 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 77 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 71 | 11 | 91 |
| Female | 3 | 105 | 22 | 2 | 104 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 86 | 27 | 130 |
| Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Adults (age 18 and over) | 9 | 271 | 46 | 10 | 271 | 45 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 265 | 1 | 29 | 14 | 3 | 223 | 57 | 326 |
| Male | 5 | 186 | 30 | 7 | 185 | 29 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 181 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 163 | 39 | 221 |
| Female | 2 | 82 | 16 | 1 | 83 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 81 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 59 | 18 | 100 |
| Not Available | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 |

For Consumers Who Began Mental Health Services during the past 12 months

T1 T2 T1 to T2 Change Assessment of the Impact of Services

| | | 12 months prinning serv | | "T2" Since Beginning Services (this year) | | | If Arre | If Arrested at T1 (Prior 12 Months) | | | If Not Arrested at T1 (Prior 12 Months) | | | Since starting to receive MH Services, my encounters with the police have | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|----------------|--|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Arrested | Not Arrested | No Response | Arrested | Not Arrested | No Response | # with an Arrest in T2 | # with No Arrest at T2 | No Response | # with an Arrest in T2 | # with No Arrest at T2 | No Response | # Reduced (fewer encounters) | # Stayed the Same | # Increased | # Not Applicable | No Response | Total Responses |
| Total | 20 | 231 | 4 | 10 | 246 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 227 | 0 | 21 | 15 | 2 | 201 | 15 | 254 |
| Total Children/Youth (under age 18) | 7 | 155 | 0 | 3 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 152 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 134 | 8 | 161 |
| Male | 3 | 76 | 0 | 2 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 74 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 65 | 3 | 78 |
| Female | 4 | 79 | 0 | 1 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 69 | 5 | 83 |
| Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Adults (age 18 and over) | 13 | 76 | 4 | 7 | 86 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 75 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 67 | 7 | 93 |
| Male | 4 | 56 | 1 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 46 | 4 | 61 |
| Female | 8 | 18 | 3 | 6 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 29 |
| Not Available | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |

Time period in which services were received:

Please Describe the Sources of your Criminal Justice Data

| Source of adult criminal justice information: | 1. Consumer survey (recommended questions) | 2. Other Consumer Survey: Please send copy of questions | 3. Mental health MIS |
|---|--|---|--|
| | \Box 4. State criminal justice agency | 5. Local criminal justice agency | 6. Other (specify) |
| Sources of children/youth criminal justice information: | 1. Consumer survey (recommended questions) | 2. Other Consumer Survey: Please send copy of questions | 3. Mental health MIS |
| | 4. State criminal/juvenile justice agency | 5. Local criminal/juvenile justice agency | 6. Other (specify) |
| Measure of adult criminal justice involvement: | • 1. Arrests C 2. Other | er (specify) | |
| Measure of children/youth criminal justice involvement: | • 1. Arrests C 2. Other | r (specify) | |
| Mental health programs included: | \square 1. Adults with SMI only \square 2. Other | er adults (specify) | 3. Both (all adults) |
| | \square 1. Children with SED only \square 2. Other | er Children (specify) | 3. Both (all Children) |
| Region for which adult data are reported: | • 1. The whole state C 2. Less than the | e whole state (please describe) | |
| Region for which children/youth data are reported: | • 1. The whole state C 2. Less than the | e whole state (please describe) | |

What is the Total Number of Persons Surveyed or for whom Criminal Justice Data Are Reported

| | Child/Adolescents | Adults |
|--|-------------------|--------|
| 1. If data is from a survey, What is the total Number of people from which the sample was drawn? | 18,300 | 37,833 |
| 2. What was your sample size? (How many individuals were selected for the sample)? | 2,200 | 1,998 |
| 3. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses) | 1,869 | 1,701 |
| 4. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed) If data source was not a Survey, How many persons were CJ data available for? | 423 | 448 |
| 5. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts) | 23.0 % | 26.0 % |
| State Comments/Notes: | | |

State Comments/Notes:

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:

MHBG Table 22 (URS Table 19B) - Profile of Change in School Attendance

- 1. The SAMHSA National Outcome Measure for School Attendance measures the change in days attended over time. The DIG Outcomes Workgroup pilot tested 3 consumer self-report items that can be used to provide this information. If your state has used the 3 Consumer Self-Report items on School Attendance, you may report them here.
- 2. If your SMHA has data on School Attendance from alternative sources, you may also report that here. If you only have data for School attendance for consumers in this year, please report that in the T2 columns. If you can calculate the change in the Attendance from T1 to T2, please use all these columns.
- 3. Please complete the check boxes at the bottom of the table to help explain the data sources that you used to complete this table.
- 4. Please tell us anything else that would help us to understand your indicator (e. g., list survey or MIS questions; describe linking methodology and data sources; specifiy time period for criminal justice involvement; explain whether treatment data are collected).

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

For Consumers in Service for at least 12 months

| | | T1 | | | T2 | | | | T1 to T2 | Change | | | Impact of Services | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|---|---|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | | 12 months (n 1 year ago) | nore than | "T2" Most | "T2" Most Recent 12 months (this year) | | | If Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 If Not Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 Months) Months) | | | | Over the last 12 months, the number of days my child was in school have | | | | | | |
| | # Suspended or Expelled | # Not Suspended or Expelled | No Response | # Suspended or Expelled | # Not Suspended or Expelled | No Response | # with an Expelled or Suspended in T2 | # with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2 | No Response | # with an Expelled or Suspended in T2 | # with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2 | No Response | # Greater (Improved) | # Stayed the Same | # Fewer days (gotten worse) | # Not Applicable | No Response | Total Responses |
| Total | 20 | 167 | 38 | 25 | 163 | 37 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 154 | 0 | 38 | 47 | 9 | 70 | 61 | 225 |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 6 | 74 | 13 | 7 | 74 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 0 | 13 | 23 | 5 | 31 | 21 | 93 |
| Female | 14 | 93 | 25 | 18 | 89 | 25 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 83 | 0 | 25 | 24 | 4 | 39 | 40 | 132 |
| Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Under 18 | 20 | 163 | 38 | 24 | 160 | 37 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 151 | 0 | 37 | 46 | 9 | 68 | 61 | 221 |

For Consumers Who Began Mental Health Services during the past 12 months

| | | T1 T2 | | | | T1 to T2 Change | | | | | | | Impact of Services | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | | 2 months pri inning servic | | "T2" Sind | "T2" Since Beginning Services (this year) | | | If Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 Months) If Not Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 Months) | | | | | Since starting to receive MH Services, the number of days my child was in school have | | | | | |
| | # Suspended or Expelled | # Not Suspended or Expelled | No Response | # Suspended or Expelled | # Not Suspended or Expelled | No Response | # with an Expelled or Suspended in T2 | # with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2 | No Response | # with an Expelled or Suspended in T2 | # with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2 | No Response | # Greater (Improved) | # Stayed the Same | # Fewer days (gotten worse) | # Not Applicable | No Response | Total Responses |
| Total | 25 | 142 | 1 | 15 | 151 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 138 | 0 | 40 | 37 | 5 | 68 | 18 | 168 |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 9 | 72 | 0 | 3 | 78 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 3 | 37 | 7 | 8. |
| Female | 16 | 69 | 1 | 12 | 72 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 65 | 0 | 22 | 20 | 2 | 31 | 11 | 86 |
| Not Available | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Age | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Under 18 | 25 | 137 | 1 | 15 | 146 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 133 | 0 | 38 | 37 | 5 | 66 | 17 | 163 |
| Source of School Attendance Information: | | | | | | | | | | | 3. Mental health MIS | | | | | | | |

| Source of School Attendance Information: | 1. Consumer survey (recommended items) 4. State Education Department | 2. Other Survey: Please send us items 5. Local Schools/Education Agencies | 3. Mental health MIS 6. Other (specify) |
|--|--|---|---|
| Measure of School Attendance: | 1. School Attendance | C 2. Other (specify): | |
| Mental health programs include: | 1. Children with SED only | 2. Other Children (specify) | ▼ 3. Both |
| Region for which data are reported: | 1. The whole state | C 2. Less than the whole state (please describe) | |

What is the Total Number of Persons Surveyed or for whom School Attendance Data Are Reported?

Child/Adolescents:

1. If data is from a survey, what is the total number of people from which the sample was drawn?

18,300

- What was your sample size? (How many individuals were selected for the sample)?
 How many survey contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)
 - 4. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed) If data source was not a Survey, how many persons were data available for?
 - 5. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)

State Comments/Notes:

| 200 | |
|------|--|
| 369 | |
| 3 | |
| .0 % | |

| 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020 | |
|--|--|
| | |
| Footnotes: | |
| | |

MHBG Table 23A (URS Table 20A) - Profile of Non-Forensic (Voluntary and Civil-Involuntary) Patients Readmission to Any State Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital within 30/180 Days of Discharge

| | Total number of Discharges in | | lmissions to ANY pital within | Percent R | Readmitted |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Year | 30 days | 180 days | 30 days | 180 days |
| TOTAL | 146 | 10 | 13 | 6.85 % | 8.90 % |
| Age | | | | | |
| 0-12 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| 13-17 years | 12 | 1 | 1 | 8.33 % | 8.33 % |
| 18-20 years | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 % | 5.88 % |
| 21-64 years | 112 | 8 | 10 | 7.14 % | 8.93 % |
| 65-74 years | 5 | 1 | 1 | 20.00 % | 20.00 % |
| 75+ years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Female | 49 | 3 | 4 | 6.12 % | 8.16 % |
| Male | 97 | 7 | 9 | 7.22 % | 9.28 % |
| Gender Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Race | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Black/African American | 93 | 7 | 9 | 7.53 % | 9.68 % |
| Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| White ed: 1/6/2021 2:26 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 <i>A</i> | 47 | 3 | 4 | 6.38 % | 8.51 % Page |

| Hispanic * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
|--|-------|------|---|------------------|------------------|
| More than one race | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Race Not Available | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| | • | | | | • |
| | | | | | |
| Hispanic/Latino Origin | | | | | |
| Hispanic/Latino Origin Hispanic/Latino Origin | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| | 3 140 | 0 10 | 0 | 0.00 % 7.14 % | 0.00 % 9.29 % |

| Are Forensic Patients Included? |
|---------------------------------|
|---------------------------------|

Comments on Data:

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

| Fo | 0 | tn | ^ | tο | c. |
|----|---|----|---|----|----|
| гυ | v | u | u | æ | э. |

^{*}Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available

MHBG Table 23B (URS Table 20B) - Profile of Forensic Patients Readmission to Any State Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital within 30/180 Days of Discharge

| | Total number of Discharges in | | lmissions to ANY pital within | Percent R | eadmitted |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Year | 30 days | 180 days | 30 days | 180 days |
| TOTAL | 244 | 8 | 12 | 3.28 % | 4.92 % |
| Age | | | | | |
| 0-12 years | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| 13-17 years | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| 18-20 years | 11 | 1 | 1 | 9.09 % | 9.09 % |
| 21-64 years | 215 | 7 | 11 | 3.26 % | 5.12 % |
| 65-74 years | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| 75+ years | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Female | 51 | 1 | 3 | 1.96 % | 5.88 % |
| Male | 193 | 7 | 9 | 3.63 % | 4.66 % |
| Gender Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Race | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Black/African American | 111 | 5 | 8 | 4.50 % | 7.21 % |
| Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| White ed: 1/6/2021 2:26 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 <i>A</i> | 125 | 3 | 4 | 2.40 % | 3.20 % Page |

| Hispanic * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
|------------------------|-----|---|----|--------|--------|
| More than one race | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Race Not Available | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| | | | | | |
| Hispanic/Latino Origin | | | | | |
| Hispanic/Latino Origin | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| | 1 | | | | |
| Non Hispanic/Latino | 235 | 8 | 12 | 3.40 % | 5.11 % |

Comments on Data:

| Footnotes: | | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |

^{*}Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 24 (URS Table 21) - Profile of Non-Forensic (Voluntary and Civil-Involuntary Patients) Readmission to Any Psychiatric Inpatient Care Unit (State Operated or Other Psychiatric Inpatient Unit) within 30/180 Days of Discharge

| | Total number of Discharges in Year | Psychiatric Inpa | lmissions to ANY atient Care Unit Il within | Percent R | eadmitted |
|-------------|--|------------------|---|-----------|-----------|
| | | 30 days | 180 days | 30 days | 180 days |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Age | | | | | |
| 0-12 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| 13-17 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| 18-20 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| 21-64 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| 65-74 years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| 75+ years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |

| Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--------|--------|
| | | | | | |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Gender Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| | • | | | | |
| Race | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Black/African American | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| White | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Hispanic * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| More than one race | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Race Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |

| Hispanic/Latino Origin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
|---|---------------|---|---|--------|--------|
| Non Hispanic/Latino | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| | | | | | |
| Hispanic/Latino Origin Not Available | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Hispanic/Latino Origin Not Available Does this table include readmission from starsychiatric hospitals? Are Forensic Patients Included? | | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |
| Does this table include readmission from star | re C Yes C No | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % | 0.00 % |