FY 2016



Division of Youth Services

S7

FY 2016 ANNUAL

STATISTICAL REPORT

July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016



Arkansas Division of Youth Services FY 2016 Annual Statistical Report

Table of Contents

DYS FY 202	16 Statistics-Summaryp. 2-3
DYS Visior	n and Mission Statementsp. 4
Figure 1:	Commitments by Offense p. 5
Figure 2:	First Commitments vs. Recommitsp. 6
Figure 3:	Violent vs. Non-Violentp. 6
Figure 4:	Commitments by Age & Genderp. 7
Figure 5:	Commitments by Age, Gender, & Race p. 8
Figure 6:	Commitments by Racep. 8
Figure 7:	Commitments by Race by County p. 9-10
Figure 8:	Commitments by District p. 11
Figure 9:	Commitments by District by Yearp. 12-13
Figure 10:	Total Commitments for Quarter with Reference to Previous Yearsp. 14
Figure 11:	Facility Bed Rates & Annual Costp. 15
Figure 12:	Youth Served by Community-Based Provider (CBP) Servicesp. 16

Appendix I: Map of AR DYS Treatment Centers, Programs, and Providersp.	17
Appendix II: Map of AR Juvenile Detention Centersp.	18

DYS FY 2016 Statistics – Summary

Total Commitments FY 2014-2016

2016 = 467 2015 = 526 2014 = 468

Commitments by Offense (figure 1)

Felony = 51% Misdemeanor 37%

First Commitments vs. Recommits (figure 2)

First Commits = 66% Recommits = 34%

Violent vs. Non-Violent Offenses (figure 3)

Violent offenses = 9% Non-violent offenses = 91%

Commitments by Age, Gender, Race (figure 4, 5, 6)

Total commitments, gender: 87% male, 13% female AR youth population, gender: 51% male, 49% female Total commitments, race: 51% African American, 41% white, 8% other AR youth population, race: 20% African American, 77% white, 3% other Ages of committed youth: 10-20years Over half of total commitments were between 16-17 years

Commitments by County (figure 7)

Top Committing counties

- 1) Pulaski (51)
- 2) Jefferson (29)
- *3) Ouachita (26)*
- 4) Saline (25)
- 5) Sebastian (22)
- 6) Union (20)
- 7) Craighead (19)

Commitments by District (figure 8)

Top committing districts -

1) 13th (62) Little Rock
2) 6th (51)
3) 2nd (36)
4) 10th (34)
5) 11th W (28)
6) 22nd (28)

Commitments by District by Year (figure 9)					
Largest Reduction in commitments	Largest Increase in Commitments				
1) 10^{th} (-14)	1) $9^{th} E$ (+5)				
2) $18^{th} E$ (-12)	2) 17 th (+4)				
3) 1^{st} (-11)	3) 21^{st} (+4)				
4) $8^{th} S$ (-11)	4) 5^{th} (+3)				
5) 11 th W (-9)	5) 11 th E (+2)				
6) 22^{nd} (-8)	6) 13 th (+2)				
7) $8^{th} N$ (-7)					

Facility Bed Rates & Annual Cost (figure 11)

Alexander Juvenile Assessment and Treatment (AJATC) = \$232 Residential Treatment Facilities (average) = \$145 Specialized Therapeutic Group Homes (average) = \$120 County Juvenile Detention Centers (average) = \$75

Youth Served by Community-Based Provider (CBP) Services (figure 12)

Top Districts with youth and families served

1) 2^{nd} (550) 2) $11^{th} W (450)$ 3) $20^{th} (375)$ 4) $10^{th} (350)$ 5) $4^{th} (350)$ 6) $5^{th} (325)$ 7) $12^{th} (325)$ 8) $19^{th} W (325)$ 9) $22^{nd} (325)$

DYS Programs Map (Appendix 1)

DYS Residential Treatment Facilities (AJATC + 7) = 8 Community –based service providers = 13 Specialized Residential Programs = 10

Juvenile Detention Center Map (Appendix 2)

Total number of county-operated detention centers = 14 DYS-JDC Memorandum of Agreements = 5

DYS Vision Statement

Arkansas' juvenile justice system is a leader in reform and collaboration across the state, working to prevent most youth from ever needing legal intervention and providing those that do with effective rehabilitation and reintegration; youth that become involved with the juvenile justice system are treated, educated, and equipped with the tools they need to find success as productive and responsible members of collective society.

DYS Mission Statement

The Division of Youth Services' mission is to provide effective community-based prevention, diversion, appropriate correction and rehabilitation, improved education and vocational opportunities, and family-centered service in order to enhance the comprehensive well-being of the youth and families of Arkansas involved in the juvenile justice system.

Figure 1: Commitments by Offense Jul 2015 – Jun 2016




Figure 2: First Commitments vs. Recommits Jul 2015 – Jun 2016





Figure 3: Violent vs. Non-Violent Jul 2015 – Jun 2016




DHS | DYS Annual Report, 2016 6 Data Source: Rite Track, DYS Information Management System

Violent

Figure 4: Commitments by Age & Gender Jul 2015 – Jun 2016





DHS | DYS Annual Report, 2016 7

Figure 5: Commitments by Age, Gender, and Race Jul 2015 – Jun 2016

Age (yrs)	African- American		White		Other		TOTAL	
(913)	male	female	male	female	male	female		
10		1					1	
11	1	1	2				4	
12	2	1					3	
13	8	2	6		4		20	
14	20	5	18	4	3	3	53	
15	54	4	30	1	8		97	
16	59	8	49	7	5		128	
17	56	8	44	10	8	2	128	
18	8	1	14	3	4		30	
19			2				2	
20			1				1	
TOTAL	208	31	166	25	32	5	467	

Figure 6: Commitments by Race Jul 2015 – Jun 2016




Figure 7: Commitments by Race by County Jul 2015 – Jun 2016

Cc	Committing County Arkansas Ashley Baxter		White	Other	TOTAL
			4		5
			6		12
			1		1
	Benton		7	1	8
	Boone		1		1
	Bradley		2		2
	Calhoun		1		1
*	Carroll				
	Chicot	10		1	11
NOTE	Clark	7	1	1	9
Zeros have been omitted	Clay		2		2
from this chart	Cleburne	1	2		3
for visual	Cleveland		2		2
clarity	Columbia	10			10
*	Conway			2	2
	Craighead	5	9	5	19
	Crawford	2	3	1	6
	Crittenden	1			1
	Cross	1			1
	Dallas	3			3
	Desha	12	3		15
	Drew	1	3		4
	Faulkner	3	12	3	18
	Franklin		6		6
	Fulton				
	Garland	9	3	5	17
	Grant		8		8
	Greene		5		5
	Hempstead	8	4	2	14
	Hot Spring	7	7	1	15
	Howard		1		1
	Independence		2		2
	Izard				

Figure 7: Commitments by Race by County (continued) Jul 2015 – Jun 2016

Committing County	African- American	White	Other	TOTAL
Jackson	5	1		6
Jefferson	27	2		29
Johnson		2		2
Lafayette				
Lawrence		4		4
Lee	1			1
Lincoln				
Little River	2	1		3
Logan		1		1
Lonoke	1	4		5
Madison				
Marion				
Miller	4	4		8
Mississippi	2	3		5
Monroe	2			2
Montgomery				
Nevada	2			2
Newton				
Ouachita	21	5		26
Perry				
Phillips	5			5
Pike		1		1
Poinsett	2	2		4
Роре		4		4
Polk		1		1
Prairie				
Pulaski	44	6	1	51
Randolph		4		4
Saint Francis	3	2		5
Saline	4	18	3	25
Scott				
Sebastian	7	8	7	22
Searcy		1		1
Sevier	1	2	2	5
Sharp	-		_	_
Stone				
Union	16	3	1	20
Van Buren		1		1
Washington	1	3	1	5
White	2	10	1	13
Woodruff	-	10		15
Yell		2		2
TOTAL	239	190	38	467
IOTAL	239	190		107

Figure 8: Commitments by District Jul 2015 – Jun 2016





Figure 9: Commitments by District by Year Jul 2015 – Jun 2016



DHS | DYS Annual Report, 2016 1




Figure 9: Commitments by District by Year (continued) Jul 2015 – Jun 2016

DHS | DYS Annual Report, 2016 13




Figure 10: Total Commitments with Reference to Previous Years Jul 2015 – Jun 2016


Commitments for FY 2016 **(467)** are down from 2015 **(526)** and FY 2014 **(486)**.

Figure 11: Facility Bed Rates & Annual Cost Jul 2015 – Jun 2016

Facility	Provider	Daily Bed Rate	Annual Cost- Per-Youth
AJATC	ROP	\$239.08	\$87,264.20
Colt JTC	CYS	\$145.00	\$52,925.00
Harrisburg JTC	CYS	\$143.00	\$52,195.00
Dermott JTC	SAYS	\$145.00	\$52,925.00
Dermott 18-21	SAYS	\$150.00	\$54,750.00
Mansfield Boys	SAYS	\$139.00	\$50,735.00
Mansfield Girls	SAYS	\$142.00	\$51,830.00
Lewisville JTC	SAYS	\$144.00	\$52,560.00
Specialized Therapeutic Group Homes (avg.)		\$120.00	\$43,800.00
County Juvenile Detention Centers (avg.)		\$75.00	\$27,375.00


Daily Bed Rate: includes room, board, and treatment; does not include medical care or education expenses.

Figure 12: Youth Served by Community-Based Provider (CBP) Services Jul 2015 – Jun 2016




16

Map of AR DYS Treatment Centers, Specialized Residential Programs, and Community-Based Providers



Map of AR Juvenile Detention Centers



18