Division of Aging, Adult, and Behavioral Health Services of the Department of Human Services

Rule establishing reasonable charges for costs of treatment

Authority: The following rule is adopted and promulgated pursuant to the authority expressly conferred by the laws of the State of Arkansas, Ark. Code Ann. § 5-2-331.

Purpose: This rule establishes reasonable charges for costs of treatment that a person or entity that provides treatment may impose pursuant to Ark. Code Ann. §§ 5-2-301 et seq. The following rules supplement, but do not supplant, other laws and rules.

- **I.** A person or entity subject to this rule shall be in compliance within 30 days of its effective date.
- **II.** Charges for costs of treatment may not exceed the actual cost of the treatment.
- **III.** Collection of any charges imposed under this rule shall be waived or postponed based upon:
 - a. Clinical considerations;
 - b. The patient's inability to pay; or
 - c. A court determination that the patient is wholly or partly indigent and qualifies for the appointment of an attorney under Ark. Code Ann. § 16-87-213.
- **IV.** Charges for costs of treatment shall be based upon and not exceed the charges contained in the Charge Description Master maintained by the Arkansas State Hospital.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEI	PARTMENT	
DIV	'ISION	
PER	RSON COMPLETING THIS STATEM	IENT NOEMAIL:
TEI	LEPHONE NOFAX I	NOEMAIL:
To c State	comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204 ement and file two copies with the question	e(e), please complete the following Financial Impact onnaire and proposed rules.
SHO	ORT TITLE OF THIS RULE	
1.	Does this proposed, amended, or repe Yes No	ealed rule have a financial impact?
2.	Is the rule based on the best reasonabl information available concerning the r	ly obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule?
3.	In consideration of the alternatives to	this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least
	costly rule considered? Yes	No
	If an agency is proposing a more costl	y rule, please state the following:
	(a) How the additional benefits of the	more costly rule justify its additional cost;
	(b) The reason for adoption of the mo	re costly rule;
	(c) Whether the more costly rule is ba please explain; and	ased on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so,
	(d) Whether the reason is within the so	cope of the agency's statutory authority, and if so, please explain.
4.	If the purpose of this rule is to impleme	ent a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:
	(a) What is the cost to implement the fe	ederal rule or regulation?
	Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
	General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue	Federal Funds

Total (b) What is the additional cost of the state Current Fiscal Year	
Current Fiscal Year	
	Next Fiscal Year
General Revenue	General Revenue
Federal Funds	Federal Funds
Cash Funds	Cash Funds
Special Revenue	Special Revenue
Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	Cash FundsSpecial RevenueOther (Identify)
Total	Total
and explain how they are affected.	
Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year
<u>Current Fiscal Year</u> \$	Next Fiscal Year \$
What is the total estimated cost by fiscal implement this rule? Is this the cost of the	
\$ What is the total estimated cost by fiscal	\$year to state, county, and municipal government to

If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously

with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

- (1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;
- (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;
- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.