

# Consensus Score Sheet

710-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: Early Education & Care Connections

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sheree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
<b>E.1</b>	<b>Executive Summary/Description of Project</b>	15				
A.	How well does the respondent summarize the full proposals? Is it clear?		4	4	3	
B.	Are there clear objectives or indicators of success?		3	4	3	
C.	How clearly does the respondent identify plans for meeting the objective and method to measure success?		4	5	4	
		Total Points for E. 1	11	13	10	11.33
Total Weighted Score for Section E. 1						79.33

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sheree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
<b>E.2</b>	<b>Technical Approach to Scope of Work/Viable Implementation Plan</b>	55				
A.	How well does the proposal indicate how the requirements will be met?		3	3	3	
B.	Is there a specific, attainable plan that addresses how the respondent will provide trainings and technical assistance that includes clear objectives and goals for each of the following:					
	1. parents and families		3	4	3	
	2. family engagement		3	4	4	

# Consensus Score Sheet

710-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: Early Education & Care Connections

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

	3. business management and on-site consultation		3	3	4	
	4. emergency preparedness		3	4	4	
	5. physical and mental health		3	4	4	
C.	Are the early care and education core competencies, target audience, training length, and PDR level identified?		5	4	5	
D.	Is there a clear method for evaluating training and services?		4	4	5	
E.	Are there a minimum of three (3) partnership agreements included that outline responsibilities?		4	4	5	
F.	Is there a specific, attainable plan that addresses how the respondent will promote and support infant/toddler quality?		5	5	4	
G.	Is the implementation plan for delivery included?		3	3	3	
Total Points for E.2			39	42	44	41.67
Total Weighted Score for Section E.2						212.12

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sherree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
<b>E.3</b>	<b>Project Organization, Staffing and Experience</b>	40				
A.	Does the proposal have an overall organizational chart and a project-specific organization chart showing proposed staff by job title and lines of supervision that are sufficient to meet objectives?		5	5	4	
B.	Are all staff identified as well as staff proposed to meet the requirements of the RFP?		4	5	4	

# Consensus Score Sheet

710-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: Early Education & Care Connections

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

C.	Are the backgrounds and experience listed for key staff indicating an ability to successfully perform the work?	4	4	4	
D.	Does the proposal indicate that trainers be verified in the PDR system?	4	5	5	
E.	Are agency locations with business hours identified? Are the hours listed sufficient to meet the needs of the project?	5	5	5	
F.	Does the respondent show a clear understanding of the requirement and needs of the contract?	4	5	4	
G.	Does the respondent give background information of ownership (whether public, partnership, subsidiary or specified other) and date of establishment?	5	5	4	
H.	Are three (3) letters of recommendation included?	4	4	4	
Total Points for E.3		35	38	34	35.67
Total Weighted Score for Section E.3					124.83

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sheree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
<b>E.4</b>	<b>Management Plan/Financial Disclosure</b>	30				
A.	Is there a clear ability to manage and control projects activities, report progress, and coordinate with DHS?		5	4	4	
B.	Is there a reliable method for collecting, tracking, and reporting data that is relevant to the project and DCCECE requests?		5	4	4	

# Consensus Score Sheet




710-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: Early Education & Care Connections

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

C.	Does the respondent show complete financial disclosure with the ability to carry out the project?		2	3	3	
D.	Does the respondent have diverse sources of funding?		1	2	2	
E.	Did respondent include a line item budget and budget justification?		0	0	1	
F.	Are the respondent's financial statements and most recent audit enclosed or available electronically?		0	0	1	
Total Points for E.4			13	13	15	13.67
Total Weighted Score for Section E.4						79.72

Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell  
 Evaluator 2 Amber Harris  
 Evaluator 3 Sheree Fagan

Signature	Date
	5/7/19
	5-7-19
	5-7-19

# Consensus Score Sheet

710-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: Northwest Ark Child Care  
 Resource & Referrals dba Child Care Aware NWA

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sheree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
<b>E.1 Executive Summary/Description of Project</b>		15				
A.	How well does the respondent summarize the full proposals? Is it clear?		4	4	4	
B.	Are there clear objectives or indicators of success?		3	4	4	
C.	How clearly does the respondent identify plans for meeting the objective and method to measure success?		3	4	4	
		Total Points for E:1	10	12	12	11.33
Total Weighted Score for Section E:1						79.33

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sheree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
<b>E.2 Technical Approach to Scope of Work/Viable Implementation Plan</b>		55				
A.	How well does the proposal indicate how the requirements will be met?		4	4	3	
B. Is there a specific, attainable plan that addresses how the respondent will provide trainings and technical assistance that includes clear objectives and goals for each of the following:						
	1. parents and families		4	5	4	
	2. family engagement		4	5	4	

# Consensus Score Sheet

710-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: Northwest Ark Child Care  
 Resource & Referrals dba Child Care Aware NWA

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

	3. business management and on-site consultation		4	4	3	
	4. emergency preparedness		5	5	4	
	5. physical and mental health		5	5	4	
C.	Are the early care and education core competencies, target audience, training length, and PDR level identified?		5	5	4	
D.	Is there a clear method for evaluating training and services?		4	5	4	
E.	Are there a minimum of three (3) partnership agreements included that outline responsibilities?		5	4	4	
F.	Is there a specific, attainable plan that addresses how the respondent will promote and support infant/toddler quality?		5	5	4	
G.	Is the implementation plan for delivery included?		5	5	4	
	Total Points for E:2		50	52	42	48.00
	Total Weighted Score for Section E:2					244.36

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sheree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
E.3	<b>Project Organization, Staffing and Experience</b>	40				
A.	Does the proposal have an overall organizational chart and a project-specific organization chart showing proposed staff by job title and lines of supervision that are sufficient to meet objectives?		5	5	4	
B.	Are all staff identified as well as staff proposed to meet the requirements of the RFP?		5	5	4	

# Consensus Score Sheet

7-10-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: Northwest Ark Child Care  
 Resource & Referrals dba Child Care Aware NVA

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

C.	Are the backgrounds and experience listed for key staff indicating an ability to successfully perform the work?	5	5	5	
D.	Does the proposal indicate that trainers be verified in the PDR system?	4	5	5	
E.	Are agency locations with business hours identified? Are the hours listed sufficient to meet the needs of the project?	5	5	4	
F.	Does the respondent show a clear understanding of the requirement and needs of the contract?	5	5	4	
G.	Does the respondent give background information of ownership (whether public, partnership, subsidiary or specified other) and date of establishment?	5	5	4	
H.	Are three (3) letters of recommendation included?	5	5	5	
Total Points for E.3		39	40	35	38.00
Total Weighted Score for Section E.3					133.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sheree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
E.4	<b>Management Plan/Financial Disclosure</b>	30				
A.	Is there a clear ability to manage and control projects activities, report progress, and coordinate with DHS?		4	5	4	
B.	Is there a reliable method for collecting, tracking, and reporting data that is relevant to the project and DCCCECE requests?		4	4	3	

# Consensus Score Sheet

7-10-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: Northwest Ark Child Care  
 Resource & Referrals dba Child Care Aware NWA

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

C.	Does the respondent show complete financial disclosure with the ability to carry out the project?		5	5	4	
D.	Does the respondent have diverse sources of funding?		5	4	4	
E.	Did respondent include a line item budget and budget justification?		5	5	4	
F.	Are the respondent's financial statements and most recent audit enclosed or available electronically?		5	5	4	
Total Points for E.4			28	28	23	26.33
Total Weighted Score for Section E.4						153.61

	Signature	Date
Evaluator 1	Rebecca Mitchell	5/7/19
Evaluator 2	Amber Harris	5/7/19
Evaluator 3	Sherree Fagan	5/2/19



# Consensus Score Sheet

710-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: White River Planning and  
 Development Dist., Child Care Aware Northcentral Ark

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sherree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
<b>E.1</b>	<b>Executive Summary/Description of Project</b>	15				
A.	How well does the respondent summarize the full proposals? Is it clear?		4	3	3	
B.	Are there clear objectives or indicators of success?		3	3	3	
C.	How clearly does the respondent identify plans for meeting the objective and method to measure success?		3	3	3	
		Total Points for E.1	10	9	9	9.33
Total Weighted Score for Section E.1						65.33

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sherree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
<b>E.2</b>	<b>Technical Approach to Scope of Work/Viable Implementation Plan</b>	55				
A.	How well does the proposal indicate how the requirements will be met?		4	3	3	
B.	Is there a specific, attainable plan that addresses how the respondent will provide trainings and technical assistance that includes clear objectives and goals for each of the following:					
	1. parents and families		3	3	3	
	2. family engagement		3	3	4	

# Consensus Score Sheet

710-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: White River Planning and  
 Development Dist., Child Care Aware Northcentral Ark

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

	3. business management and on-site consultation		3	3	3	
	4. emergency preparedness		4	4	3	
	5. physical and mental health		4	4	3	
C.	Are the early care and education core competencies, target audience, training length, and PDR level identified?		5	4	4	
D.	Is there a clear method for evaluating training and services?		3	4	4	
E.	Are there a minimum of three (3) partnership agreements included that outline responsibilities?		4	3	4	
F.	Is there a specific, attainable plan that addresses how the respondent will promote and support infant/toddler quality?		4	3	3	
G.	Is the implementation plan for delivery included?		4	3	3	
Total Points for E.2			41	37	37	38.33
Total Weighted Score for Section E.2						195.15

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sherree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
E.3	<b>Project Organization, Staffing and Experience</b>	40				
A.	Does the proposal have an overall organizational chart and a project-specific organization chart showing proposed staff by job title and lines of supervision that are sufficient to meet objectives?		5	4	4	
B.	Are all staff identified as well as staff proposed to meet the requirements of the RFP?		5	5	5	

# Consensus Score Sheet

710-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: White River Planning and  
 Development Dist., Child Care Aware Northcentral Ark

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

C.	Are the backgrounds and experience listed for key staff indicating an ability to successfully perform the work?	5	5	5	
D.	Does the proposal indicate that trainers be verified in the PDR system?	4	5	4	
E.	Are agency locations with business hours identified? Are the hours listed sufficient to meet the needs of the project?	5	5	5	
F.	Does the respondent show a clear understanding of the requirement and needs of the contract?	4	4	4	
G.	Does the respondent give background information of ownership (whether public, partnership, subsidiary or specified other) and date of establishment?	5	4	4	
H.	Are three (3) letters of recommendation included?	5	4	5	
Total Points for E.3		38	36	36	36.67
Total Weighted Score for Section E.3					128.33

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell	Evaluator 2 Amber Harris	Evaluator 3 Sherree Fagan	Average of Raw Points for Category
E.4	<b>Management Plan/Financial Disclosure</b>	30				
A.	Is there a clear ability to manage and control projects activities, report progress, and coordinate with DHS?		4	4	4	
B.	Is there a reliable method for collecting, tracking, and reporting data that is relevant to the project and DCECE requests?		5	4	4	

# Consensus Score Sheet




710-19-1043 Child Care Resource and Referral, Child Care Aware  
 Prospective Contractor Name: White River Planning and  
 Development Dist., Child Care Aware Northcentral Ark

## Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

C.	Does the respondent show complete financial disclosure with the ability to carry out the project?		5	4	4	
D.	Does the respondent have diverse sources of funding?		3	4	3	
E.	Did respondent include a line item budget and budget justification?		5	4	4	
F.	Are the respondent's financial statements and most recent audit enclosed or available electronically?		5	4	4	
Total Points for E.4			27	24	23	24.67
Total Weighted Score for Section E.4						143.89

Evaluator 1 Rebecca Mitchell  
 Evaluator 2 Amber Harris  
 Evaluator 3 Sheree Fagan

	Signature	Date
		5/7/19
		5/7/19
		5/2/19