

Consensus Score Sheet

Bid#: 710-21-0027						
Bid Title: Cost Report Audits/Upper Payment Limits						
Vendor Name: Myers Stauffer						
					Total Weighted Score:	610.00

Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Criteria		Possible Points	Lynn Burton Evaluator 1	Stephen Giese Evaluator 2	Kim Russell Evaluator 3	Brittney Stone Evaluator 4	Average of Raw Points for Category
E.1	Vendor Background and Experience	10					
A.	Provide documentation verifying Vendor's prior experience as required at RFP Section 2.2.B		5	5	3	5	
B.	Provide documentation verifying Vendor's prior experience as required at RFP Section 2.2.D		5	5	3	5	
Total Points for E.1			10	10	6	10	9.00
Total Weighted Score for Section E.1							94.50

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.2	Disclosure	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's response to the disclosure requirement specified in RFP Section 2.2.E		5	5	5	5	
Total Points for E.2			5	5	5	5	5.00
Total Weighted Score for Section E.2							105.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.3	Cost Report Audits and Analysis: Hospitals and Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs)	10					
A.	Describe Vendor's approach to providing these services as required in RFP Section 2.3.A., including without limitation Vendor's criteria for determining acceptability of cost reports and necessity of full-scope audits(1-8)		4	4	3	4	
B.	Describe Vendor's approach to providing the additional audit functions as required in RFP Section 2.3.A(9)		4	4	3	4	
Total Points for E.3			8	8	6	8	7.50
Total Weighted Score for Section E.3							45.00

Consensus Score Sheet

Bid#: 710-21-0027						
Bid Title: Cost Report Audits/Upper Payment Limits						
Vendor Name: Myers Stauffer						
					Total Weighted Score:	604.75

Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.4	Cost Report Audits and Analysis: Nursing Homes and Intermediate Care Facilities	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's approach to providing the services as required at RFP Section 2.3.B.		4	4	4	4	
Total Points for E.4			4	4	4	4	4.00
						Total Weighted Score for Section E.4	24.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.5	State Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) Payment Calculations	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's approach to providing the services as required at RFP Section 2.3.C.		4	4	4	4	
Total Points for E.5			4	4	4	4	4.00
						Total Weighted Score for Section E.5	24.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.6	Disclosure	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's approach to providing this service as required in RFP Section 2.3.D.		4	4	4	4	
Total Points for E.6			4	4	4	4	4.00
						Total Weighted Score for Section E.6	24.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.7	Disclosure	5					
A.	Describe Vendor's approach to providing this service as required in RFP Section 2.3.E		4	4	4	4	
Total Points for E.7			4	4	4	4	4.00

Consensus Score Sheet

Bid#: 710-21-0027						
Bid Title: Cost Report Audits/Upper Payment Limits						
Vendor Name: Myers Stauffer						
					Total Weighted Score:	604.75

Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Total Weighted Score for Section E.7 **24.00**

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.8	Disclosure	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's approach to providing a paperless workflow system as required in RFP Section 2.3.F.		3	5	3	3	
Total Points for E.8			3	5	3	3	3.50
Total Weighted Score for Section E.8							21.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.9	Disclosure	15					
A.	Provide an example of Vendor's proposed progress report meeting the requirements at RFP 2.3.H(3)		4	4	4	4	
B.	Provide Vendor's approach to accommodating meetings with the State as specified at RFP 2.3.H(4)		4	4	4	4	
C.	Provide Vendor's approach to executing Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPPA)-compliant data transfers as required at RFP 2.3.H(5)		4	4	4	4	
Total Points for E.9			12	12	12	12	12.00
Total Weighted Score for Section E.9							56.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.10	Disclosure	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's approach to meeting the implementation requirements as specified at RFP 2.4		4	5	4	4	
Total Points for E.10			4	5	4	4	4.25
Total Weighted Score for Section E.10							59.50

Consensus Score Sheet

Bid#: 710-21-0027						
Bid Title: Cost Report Audits/Upper Payment Limits						
Vendor Name: Myers Stauffer						
					Total Weighted Score:	604.75

Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.11	Disclosure	5					
A.	Provide narratives detailing prior experience of Vendor's proposed Senior Manager and Project Manager meeting the requirements at RFP Section 2.5.		5	5	4	5	
Total Points for E.11			5	5	4	5	4.75
Total Weighted Score for Section E.11							133.00

Signature _____

Signature _____

Signature _____

Signature _____

Consensus Score Sheet

Bid#: 710-21-0027						
Bid Title: Cost Report Audits/Upper Payment Limits						
Vendor Name: Ward Consulting Service						
					Total Weighted Score:	256.00

Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Criteria		Possible Points	Lynn Burton Evaluator 1	Stephen Giese Evaluator 2	Kim Russell Evaluator 3	Brittney Stone Evaluator 4	Average of Raw Points for Category
E.1	Vendor Background and Experience	10					
A.	Provide documentation verifying Vendor's prior experience as required at RFP Section 2.2.B		1	3	2	1	
B.	Provide documentation verifying Vendor's prior experience as required at RFP Section 2.2.D		1	3	2	1	
Total Points for E.1			2	6	4	2	3.50
Total Weighted Score for Section E.1							36.75

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.2	Disclosure	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's response to the disclosure requirement specified in RFP Section 2.2.E		5	4	5	5	
Total Points for E.2			5	4	5	5	4.75
Total Weighted Score for Section E.2							99.75

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.3	Cost Report Audits and Analysis: Hospitals and Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs)	10					
A.	Describe Vendor's approach to providing these services as required in RFP Section 2.3.A., including without limitation Vendor's criteria for determining acceptability of cost reports and necessity of full-scope audits(1-8)		1	1	0	0	
B.	Describe Vendor's approach to providing the additional audit functions as required in RFP Section 2.3.A(9)		1	2	0	0	
Total Points for E.3			2	3	0	0	1.25
Total Weighted Score for Section E.3							7.50

Consensus Score Sheet

Bid#: 710-21-0027						
Bid Title: Cost Report Audits/Upper Payment Limits						
Vendor Name: Ward Consulting Service						
					Total Weighted Score:	256.00

Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.4	Cost Report Audits and Analysis: Nursing Homes and Intermediate Care Facilities	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's approach to providing the services as required at RFP Section 2.3.B.		1	2	0	0	
Total Points for E.4			1	2	0	0	0.75
						Total Weighted Score for Section E.4	4.50

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.5	State Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) Payment Calculations	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's approach to providing the services as required at RFP Section 2.3.C.		1	2	2	1	
Total Points for E.5			1	2	2	1	1.50
						Total Weighted Score for Section E.5	9.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.6	Disclosure	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's approach to providing this service as required in RFP Section 2.3.D.		1	2	3	2	
Total Points for E.6			1	2	3	2	2.00
						Total Weighted Score for Section E.6	12.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.7	Disclosure	5					
A.	Describe Vendor's approach to providing this service as required in RFP Section 2.3.E		1	2	1	1	
Total Points for E.7			1	2	1	1	1.25

Consensus Score Sheet

Bid#: 710-21-0027						
Bid Title: Cost Report Audits/Upper Payment Limits						
Vendor Name: Ward Consulting Service						
					Total Weighted Score:	256.00

Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Total Weighted Score for Section E.7 **7.50**

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.8	Disclosure	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's approach to providing a paperless workflow system as required in RFP Section 2.3.F.		1	2	2	1	
Total Points for E.8			1	2	2	1	1.50
Total Weighted Score for Section E.8							9.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.9	Disclosure	15					
A.	Provide an example of Vendor's proposed progress report meeting the requirements at RFP 2.3.H(3)		0	2	0	0	
B.	Provide Vendor's approach to accommodating meetings with the State as specified at RFP 2.3.H(4)		3	3	3	3	
C.	Provide Vendor's approach to executing Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPPA)-compliant data transfers as required at RFP 2.3.H(5)		3	3	2	2	
Total Points for E.9			6	8	5	5	6.00
Total Weighted Score for Section E.9							28.00

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.10	Disclosure	5					
A.	Provide Vendor's approach to meeting the implementation requirements as specified at RFP 2.4		1	3	2	2	
Total Points for E.10			1	3	2	2	2.00
Total Weighted Score for Section E.10							28.00

Consensus Score Sheet

Bid#: 710-21-0027						
Bid Title: Cost Report Audits/Upper Payment Limits						
Vendor Name: Ward Consulting Service						
					Total Weighted Score:	256.00

Key for Assignment of Points:

0 = Unacceptable 1 = Poor 2 = Marginal 3 = Acceptable 4 = Good 5 = Excellent

Criteria		Possible Points	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	
E.11	Disclosure	5					
A.	Provide narratives detailing prior experience of Vendor's proposed Senior Manager and Project Manager meeting the requirements at RFP Section 2.5.		0	2	0	0	
Total Points for E.11			0	2	0	0	0.50
Total Weighted Score for Section E.11							14.00

Signature _____

Signature _____

Signature _____

Signature _____