Methods and Standards for Establishing Payment Rates

1. Services Provided Under Section 1915(i) of the Social Security Act. For each optional service, describe the methods and standards used to set the associated payment rate. (Check each that applies, and describe methods and standards to set rates):

	HCBS Case Management
	HCBS Homemaker
	HCBS Home Health Aide
	HCBS Personal Care
	HCBS Adult Day Health
	HCBS Habilitation
	HCBS Respite Care
For l	ndividuals with Chronic Mental Illness, the following services:
V	HCBS Day Treatment or Other Partial Hospitalization Services
	Based on the information gained from the peer state analysis and the consideration of adjustment
	factors such as Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) along with Geographic Pricing Cost Index
	(GPCI) to account for economic differences, the state was able to select appropriate rates from
	fee schedules published by peer states. Once this rate information was filtered according to
	Arkansas requirements a "state average rate" was developed. This "state average rate" consisting
	of the mean from every peer state's published rate for a given procedure served as the base rate
	for the service, which could then be adjusted by previous mentioned factors (BLS), (GPCI) etc.
	Except as otherwise noted in the plan, state-developed fee schedule rates are the same for both
	governmental and private providers. The agency's fee schedule rate was set as of January 1, 2019
	and is effective for services provided on or after that date. All rates are published at the Fee
L	Schedules website.
	HCBS Psychosocial Rehabilitation
	HCBS Clinic Services (whether or not furnished in a facility for CMI)

Other Services (Specify below):

Therapeutic Communities

Effective November 1, 2022, the new rate for Therapeutic Communities is established with the highest intensity program set at 70% of the Arkansas State Hospital (ASH) inpatient rate, and the lowest intensity level of programming at 50% of the ASH inpatient rate. Because a rate comparison analysis of similar programs in other Region 6 states found no comparable programs, in- state facilities offering comparable levels of care were surveyed. Specifically, the rates for human development centers (HDCs) and the ASH were used for comparison because Therapeutic community provider actual costs for services were also considered in the rate setting process. A revised rate methodology was determined, focused on two levels of program intensity utilizing this method.

Except as otherwise noted in the plan, state-developed fee schedule rates are the same for both governmental and private providers. The agency's fee schedule rate was set as of November 1, 2022, and is effective for services provided on or after that date. All rates are published at the <u>Fee</u> <u>Schedules</u> website.

For all other Adult Behavioral Health Services for Community Independence (ABHSCI) program services, the rate methodology is based on the information gained from the peer state analysis and the consideration of adjustment factors such as Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) along with Geographic Pricing Cost Index (GPCI) to account for economic differences, the state was able to select appropriate rates from fee schedules published by peer states. Once this rate information was filtered according to Arkansas requirements a "state average rate" was developed. This "state average rate" consisting of the mean from every peer state's published rate for a given procedure served as the base rate for the service, which could then be adjusted by previous mentioned factors (BLS), (GPCI) etc.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DE	PART	MENT	Human Service	es			
DI	VISIO	N	Medical Servio	ces			
PE	RSON	COMPL	ETING THIS	STATEMENT Jasc	on Callan		
ТЕ	LEPH	IONE <u>501</u>	-320-6540	FAX	EMAIL: Jason.	.Callan@dhs.	arkansas.gov
				25-15-204(e), please the questionnaire an	e complete the followi d proposed rules.	ng Financial	Impact
	IORT ULE	TITLE (OF THIS	1915 i (Therapeuti	c Community Rates)		
1.	Does	this prop	osed, amended, o	or repealed rule have	a financial impact?	Yes 🖂	No
2.	. Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes ⊠ No □			No 🗌			
3.				ves to this rule, was stly rule considered?		Yes 🔀	No 🗌
	If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:						
	(a)	How the	additional benefi	its of the more costly	v rule justify its addition	onal cost;	
	(b)	The reaso	on for adoption o	f the more costly rul	e;		
	(c)		the more costly a explain; and;	rule is based on the i	nterests of public heal	th, safety, or	welfare, and if
	(d)	Whether explain.	the reason is wit	hin the scope of the	agency's statutory aut	hority; and if	so, please
4.	If the	purpose o	f this rule is to im	plement a federal rule	e or regulation, please s	state the follow	ving:
	(a)	What is the	he cost to impler	nent the federal rule	or regulation?		
<u>C</u> t	irrent	Fiscal Ye	ear		<u>Next Fiscal Year</u>		

General Revenue	\$ General Revenue	\$
Federal Funds	\$ Federal Funds	\$
Cash Funds	Cash Funds	
Special Revenue	Special Revenue	
Other (Identify)	Other (Identify)	

Fotal	\$ Total	\$

(b) What is the additional cost of the state rule?

Current Fiscal Year

General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	\$1,231,004 \$3,106,573	General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	<u>\$1,846,507</u> <u>\$4,659,859</u>
Total	\$4,337,577	Total	\$6,506,366

Next Fiscal Year

5. What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any private individual, entity and business subject to the proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the entity(ies) subject to the proposed rule and explain how they are affected.

Current Fiscal Year	<u>Next Fiscal Year</u>
\$	\$

6. What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to state, county, and municipal government to implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant? Please explain how the government is affected.

Cı	<u>ırrent Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Next Fiscal Year</u>
\$	1,231,004	\$ _1,846,507

7. With respect to the agency's answers to Questions #5 and #6 above, is there a new or increased cost or obligation of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per year to a private individual, private entity, private business, state government, county government, municipal government, or to two (2) or more of those entities combined?

Yes 🔀	No 🗌
-------	------

If YES, the agency is required by Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e)(4) to file written findings at the time of filing the financial impact statement. The written findings shall be filed simultaneously with the financial impact statement and shall include, without limitation, the following:

(1) a statement of the rule's basis and purpose;

The State is submitting a State Plan Amendment to its 1915i plan related to the PASSE Independent Assessment and the Adult Behavioral Health Services for Community Independence (ABSCI) program and revising its Independent Assessment manual. The rule also updates rates for adult behavioral health services and allows reassessments to be conducted in person or through interactive video or telephonically. (2) the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule, including a statement of whether a rule is required by statute;

There is no statute that requires the specific elements of the proposed rule.

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;

This rule would decrease the time required to complete a reassessment.

A rate analysis of facility-based adult behavioral health services was conducted in the fall of 2021, and it was determined at that time that the current therapeutic communities' rates were not sufficient to reimburse providers for the cost of providing the service.

The other updates included in the rule are needed to adapt and evolve the agency's HCBS operations, to improve service delivery.

(4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

There are no less costly alternatives.

(5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;

N/A

(6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and

N/A

- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.

The Agency monitors State and Federal rules and policies for opportunities to reduce and control costs.